ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

AQUA ILLINOIS, INC.,)
Petitioner,)
VS.) No. PCB 23-12
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)
PROTECTION AGENCY,)
Defendant)

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above-entitled cause before Hearing Officer BRAD HALLORAN, taken by Raelene Stamm, CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed by the State of Illinois, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 28th day of September, 2022, commencing at the hour of 9:00 a.m.

Reported By: Raelene Stamm, CSR

License No.: 084-004445

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	ARENT FOX SCHIFF, LLP	
4	BY: MR. DANIEL J. DEEB	
5	MR. ALEX GAREL-FRANTZEN	
6	233 South Wacker Drive	
7	Suite 6600	
8	Chicago, Illinois 60606	
9	(312) 258-5521	
10	dan.deeb@afslaw.com	
11	On behalf of the Petitioner;	
12		
13	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
14	BY: MS. KATHRYN PAMENTER	
15	MS. ANN MARIE HANOHANO	
16	69 West Washington Street	
17	Suite 1800	
18	Chicago, Illinois 60602	
19	(312) 814-0608	
20	kpamenter@atg.state.il.us	
21	On behalf of the Respondent.	
22		
23		
24		

September 28, 2022

			Page 3
1	ALSO PRES	ENT:	
2			
3	MS.	ESSENCE BROWN, IPCB Scientist	
4	MS.	CHLOE SALK, IPCB Staff Attorney	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

September 28, 2022

		Page 4
1	INDEX	10.50
2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION
3	DAVID COOK	
4	By Ms. Pamenter	17
5	By Mr. Cook	82
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good morning. We're 2 on the record. My name is Bradley Halloran. Today is September 28, 2022, approximately 9:00, 9:05. 3 I'm the hearing officer assigned to this matter. 4 It's entitled, Aqua Illinois, Inc., Petitioner, 5 6 versus the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Respondent. It's docketed as PCB 23-12. 7 It's a permit appeal involving water. 8 I don't make the final determination in 9 this matter. I just collect everything, the 10 11 transcript, the posthearing briefs; and I bring it 12 over to the five members of the Board, and they decide. 13 This petition was accepted on July 21, 14 15 It consisted of a number of contested conditions, but the last man standing is Condition 16 17 Number 6, and that's why we're here today. I want to note, too, for the record that we have one of 18

Thank you. Carly Leone should be coming soon. Her train was late.

our scientists with us, Essence Brown, and we have

Chloe Salk in the background as staff attorney.

19

20

21

22

23

24

In any event, yesterday late afternoon petitioners filed a motion in limine. At present I

Page 6 1 can't find it yet, but Mr. Deeb and especially 2 petitioners and respondents, before I go any 3 farther, would you like to introduce yourselves? 4 MR. DEEB: My name is Dan Deeb, D-e-e-b, with Arent Fox Schiff for petitioners. 5 6 MR. GAREL-FRANTZEN: My name is Alex 7 Garel-Frantzen from Arent Fox Schiff for 8 petitioner. MS. PAMENTER: Good morning, Katherine Pamenter 9 with the Illinois Attorney General's Office on 10 11 behalf of the respondent, the Illinois 12 Environmental Protection Agency. 13 MR. GRANT: And I am Christopher Grant, G-r-a-n-t, with the Illinois Attorney General's 14 15 Office, also for respondent. 16 MS. HANOHANO: My name is Ann Marie Hanohano, H-a-n-o-h-a-n-o, also for respondent. 17 Thanks, thanks all. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 19 Again, there was a motion in limine filed 20 by petitioner late afternoon, and I really -- I don't have a hard copy, but, Mr. Deeb, would you 21 22 like to address that, please? 23 Yes. Thank you, Hearing Officer. MR. DEEB: 24 Our motion in limine seeks to limit the use of two

documents that were submitted in to the record that was amended and filed last Friday afternoon. Those materials were not in the record when it was previously submitted to -- well, submitted to the Board and to us in August.

2.

Importantly, those prior record submissions were couched by respondent as being complete as to additional Condition 6. The hearing officer responded a couple weeks ago, and I requested that a complete record as to all conditions be submitted. And the filing last Friday I believe was the respondent's attempt to satisfy that order of the hearing officer.

Respondent -- excuse me.

Petitioner has no objection whatsoever to the inclusion of those additional information in the record. However, given the way that they -- that the record was presented as to Condition 6 earlier, our request is that the respondent not be permitted to use those two additional record documents for, which we've called in the motion the nitrate documents, for purpose of additional Condition 6.

We have -- we acted in discovery in

reliance on the complete record being provided in August and have not had an opportunity to depose any of the witnesses or those involved regarding those documents, nor have we -- did we have time to prepare any rebuttal testimony to address those documents.

Therefore, we -- and the last point I'll make as stated in the motion is that the basis of the denial of our request to modify Condition 6 as stated in the permit has nothing to do with the nitrate documents. The basis was stated to be respondent's reading of the interim agreed order.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So you have no objection to those my nitrate documents, the additional documents being included in the record; but no comments or reference in the event there is a witness up here either now or in a posthearing brief?

MR. DEEB: Yes, sir.

2.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

State? Miss Pamenter?

MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Katherine Pamenter for the respondent responding. Your Honor, with respect to the documents at issue in the motion in limine, as

you may recall an order was entered on

September 19, 2022, that required the respondent to
submit all the documents even those relating to
additional conditions that had been withdrawn in
pleadings by the petitioner.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, yeah, I did based on the procedural rules.

MS. PAMENTER: Understood.

2.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Proceed.

MS. PAMENTER: Thereafter, on September 21, 2022, Mr. Cook's deposition took place, and during that deposition questions were asked by the petitioner that elicited the information with respect to the nitrate data. We felt that if we had excluded those from the record, that we were required to file in September 23, 2022. We submit that we may be here on a motion to have them included, and as such we did, in fact, include them in the record in support -- in accordance with the September 19 order and as a result of the questioning during the depositions that occurred.

At this time we do not know if we will need to even utilize those documents until we hear what the case in chief is that is presented, and as

such we would reserve the ability to ask questions depending upon what case in chief is presented.

But at this point in time we do not intend to utilize those documents should we have to call a witness in this matter.

2.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Deeb, any rebuttal?

MR. DEEB: The record that was submitted in August as to Condition 6 should have included those documents if they were, in fact, the basis for the permit decision, and to us it is as simple as that. To attempt to provide them in the record as to additional Condition 6 at this late date is simply inappropriate.

However, you know, if they're not objecting, I'm not sure exactly what -- well, I'm not sure where that leaves us. Our case in chief will be presented and the posthearing briefs. We do not intend to call any witnesses today.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Deeb.

I'm gonna deny the motion in limine. And if you really don't like my decision, you can appeal that, too, with the Board, and we'll take it with the case. And, I mean, if it was, I think,

that imperative, I think we could have filed another extension of the waiver decision deadline and possibly kicked the hearing out farther.

2.

In any event, the motion is denied. I'm gonna give Aqua -- it's your case in chief. I think you've just explained what's gonna happen. Could you explain again for the record? Any opening, an outline or just you're gonna rest and submit your arguments in the posthearing brief?

MR. DEEB: Thank you, Hearing Officer. I don't believe that any background is necessary. As you know, the Board has considered recently the motion to dismiss as to additional Condition 6, and, therefore, I think is well familiar with the background and the arguments at issue.

In large part, because of the basis of that motion to dismiss decision that was issued last week, we decided that it is not necessary to call any witnesses. And, therefore, we will not present any evidence today and will simply proceed to posthearing briefly.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Thank you. So you rest your case.

Miss Pamenter?

Page 12 1 MS. PAMENTER: Yes, if I may confer one moment. (WHEREUPON, a discussion was had 2. 3 off the record.) 4 MS. PAMENTER: So, Judge Halloran, in light of what has been indicated here we do intend to 5 present a witness. We would ask for just a very 6 7 brief break to get the witness in the room and situated so that we can proceed. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 10 MR. GRANT: Sorry. 11 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You're fine. We're 12 off the record. 13 (WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.) 14 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. We're 16 back. Respondent, Miss Pamenter. 17 MS. PAMENTER: Thank you. Yes, we are prepared to proceed with respondent's case in chief. We do 18 19 have one preliminary matter with which Mr. Grant 20 will be handling this morning. MR. GRANT: Mr. Halloran, we're gonna ask the 21 Board to take public notice of the complaint and 22 23 answer in the enforcement case which we have 24 pending in Circuit Court of Will County. Public

notice, judicial notice, sorry, you have a copy.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Does Mr. -- does

3 | Aqua have a copy?

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DEEB: Yes, we do.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I'll take it to the Board hearing officer, Exhibit A.

MR. DEEB: Hearing Officer, for the record Aqua objects. We think this continues to conflate the enforcement action with the permit appeal.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Grant?

MR. GRANT: First, this is a sort of document that is routinely given judicial notice to. And, second, it relates directly to the interim administrative order that's in the record. It has been in the record and was used in depositions and is referenced in the condition permit itself.

So without having the underlying facts and the admissions by Aqua in their answer to the complaint, I think the Board is missing some pretty important information. And there's no question as to the authenticity of this.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I'm very hesitant to accept it because it is an enforcement matter, and this is not an enforcement matter. You

1 know, it's a pretty close call, but I think the

2 | Board can use it for background since it's referred

3 to in the interim order that's in the record. So

4 I'll accept it.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, Petitioners, feel free to state your objection in your interlocutory appeal.

MR. DEEB: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Pamenter, your witness.

MS. PAMENTER: Before we begin with our exam, apologies, sir, we do have a brief opening statement, if we may present that.

13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh, sorry. Sure.

MS. PAMENTER: Thank you.

MS. HANOHANO: This dispute originated from the significant levels observed in drinking water in University Park. The Village of University Park is located in an environmental justice area.

Aqua Illinois is the owner and operator that provides water service to the Village of University Park.

The USEPA has set 15 micrograms per liter as the action level for lead in drinking water. In late May 2019, water testing on homes within

University Park showed lead levels as high as 1,700 micrograms per liter. Now, that's more than 113 times the lead action level. These exceedances raise serious concerns over whether the water in University Park was assuredly safe.

2.

Thereafter, an enforcement case was filed in Will County Circuit Court against Aqua regarding these elevated lead levels. Following the filing of the case, the parties negotiated an agreed interim order that has governed Aqua's University Park public water supply since November 1, 2019. Specific to this permit appeal, the agreed interim order required Aqua to conduct lead compliance sampling on a monthly basis. In March 2022 Aqua submitted to IEPA two letters seeking the elimination of the monthly sampling requirement.

As of the date of the issuance of the June 29, 2022, permit, Aqua has not provided sufficient justification for its request. For example, Aqua had not met the lead action level for two consecutive six-month sampling periods.

In addition, as you will hear from

Mr. David Cook shortly, consultants retained by

Aqua in 2021 pointed to the issue of nitrate in the

Page 16 1 water supply from the Kankakee River as a 2. significant factor in increasing lead levels. 3 of June 29, 2022, Aqua had not provided sampling data regarding the nitrate issue. 4 Section 105.12 provides that the burden of 5 6 proof shall be on the petitioner. The record and 7 testimony to be presented today will show that Aqua 8 did not satisfy its burden of showing that the application as submitted to IEPA demonstrated that 9 no violation of the Environmental Protection Act or 10 11 Board regulations would have occurred if the 12 monthly sampling requirement had been eliminated as 13 requested. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 14 Thank you. 15 Miss Pamenter? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. On behalf of the 16 17 respondent, Illinois Environmental Protection 18 Agency, we call David Cook. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Cook, you can 19 20 come up here. 21 (WHEREUPON, the witness was 22 duly sworn.) 23 MR. DEEB: May I proceed? 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may.

Page 17 1 DAVID COOK, called as a witness herein, having been first duly 2 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MS. PAMENTER: 6 Please state your name and for the record 7 spell your last name. 8 David Cook, C-o-o-k. Α. Mr. Cook, I'd like to walk through a 9 0. little bit of your background if we may. Let's 10 start with your education. You have a bachelor of 11 12 science in mechanical engineering from the University of Illinois; is that correct? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 And what year did you obtain that? Q. 16 Α. 1989. 17 Do you hold any other degrees? Q. 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Do you have any certifications or 20 licenses? 21 I'm a licensed professional engineer in Α. 22 the state of Illinois. 23 Any other certifications or licenses? 0. 24 Α. No.

- Q. Following graduation you went to work with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. In a particular division?
- A. The division of public water supplies.
- Q. In what year was that?
- A. 1990.
- Q. When you joined the agency in the division of public water supplies, what was your role?
- A. I was an environmental protection engineer and worked in the permit section reviewing construction -- applications for construction permit.
 - Q. How long did you serve in that capacity?
- 16 A. 12 years.
 - Q. So do I have it correct, 1990 to 2002 then?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. You indicated that you reviewed construction permit applications in your capacity as an environmental protection engineer between those years. In accordance with that did you prepare drafts of construction permits?

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Did you make determinations to approve or disapprove construction permit applications during that time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you sign the construction permits that you prepared in that capacity during the 1990 to 2002 time period?
 - A. No.
- Q. Approximately how many construction permit applications did you review during the 1990 to 2002 time period?
- A. Over 5,000.
- Q. And just to be clear, were these all with respect to public water supply construction permit applications or did you work on other types of media, land or air during this time period?
 - A. Just community water supply improvements.
- Q. Did you review other types of permits between 1990 and 2002 in your capacity as an environmental protection engineer?
- A. Yes. In accordance with the Safe Drinking
 Water Act requirements, we -- I reviewed coliform
 sample site plans, groundwater under the direct

influence and activation methodologies for the service water treatment rule and drafted special exception permits.

- Q. So the list that you just gave us, those are a different type of application from a construction permit application?
- A. Yes. It's not construction. It's a determination by the agency that the system was in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act requirements.
- Q. For purposes of your testimony today, the list that you just gave us, can we refer to those as special exception permits just for ease of not having to go through the list each time?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Did you review -- so you reviewed special exception permit applications in your capacity as an environmental protection engineer between 1990 and 2002, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you prepare drafts of special exception permits during that time frame?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you make determinations to approve or

- disapprove special exception permit applications during that time frame?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you sign the special exception permits that you drafted in your capacity as an environmental protection engineer between 1990 and 2002?
 - A. No.
- Q. Approximately how many special exception permit applications did you review during the 1990 to 2002 time period?
- A. With all of the sample site plans it would have been over a thousand.
- Q. Now, you testified that you did not sign the construction permits or the special exception permits that you drafted. In general, who would have signed those?
- A. The permit section manager. The coliform sample site plans might have been signed by the compliance manager. I don't recall.
- Q. Are you familiar with the term, operating permit application?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What's the difference between a

construction permit application, a special exception permit application and an operating permit application?

A. So construction permit application is for improvements -- construction of improvements to a community water supply. When that construction is complete, then the applicant -- the community water supply applies for an operating permit.

Special exception permits are just determinations by the agency that a system is meeting a provision -- the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act adopted by Illinois in Title 35 and doesn't involve construction.

- Q. In your capacity as an environmental protection engineer between 1990 and 2002 did you review operating permit applications?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you prepare any drafts?
- 19 A. No.

- Q. Where did you work after 2002?
- A. In June of 2002 I stayed within the division of public water supplies and moved to field operations section. I was the manager of the Springfield regional office.

- Q. How long did you serve as a manager in the Springfield regional office in the field operations section?
 - A. From 2002 to approximately 2016.
- Q. And you indicated that as a field operations section manager, just to clarify, that's still in the public water supply division; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Generally, what were your duties and responsibilities as a manager of the field operations section in the Springfield regional office?
- A. I supervised a staff of three environmental protection engineers, and together we conducted sanitary surveys of community water supplies. And they wrote inspection reports of those sanitary surveys which I signed as the manager in the area. Springfield region covers 22 counties in West Central Illinois.
- Q. Did you do any permitting work while you were in the filed operations section as a manager?
- A. No.
 - Q. So you testified that you were a manager

of the field operations section in the Springfield regional office between 2002 and 2016. Did you hold any other roles during that time period for the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency?

- A. Yeah. From 2012 to 2016 I had a dual role. I was also acting permit section manager after the retirement of the previous permit section manager still within the division of public water supplies.
- Q. Are you still serving as a manager of the permit section today?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. What are your general duties and responsibilities as the manager of the permit section within the division of public water supplies for the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency?
- A. I supervise a staff of seven engineers currently who prepare, review applications for construction permit and special exception permit determinations in support of the Safe Drinking Water Act requirements; and together they draft approvals or denials for those applications, and then I review their work and sign.

Q. Approximately how many people do you oversee or supervise as the manager of the permit section within the division of public water supplies?

Approximately is fine.

- A. There's seven engineers. There's one GIS tech, and then there's two engineers from the field operations section that are part-time assigned to the permit section to help.
- Q. As the manager of the permit section in the division of public water supplies, what has been your involvement in public water supply construction permit applications?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- Q. As the manager of the permit section in the division of public water supplies, what has been your involvement with public water supply construction permit applications?
- A. Again, the staff reviews the applications for construction permits and prepares drafts, permits or denials which I then review and sign.
- Q. In your capacity as a permit manager, do you ever draft construction permit applications -- excuse me, strike that.

In your capacity as a permit manager do you ever draft construction permits?

A. Yes.

- Q. Approximately how many have you worked on in your capacity as a permit manager?
- A. Permits, approximately a hundred in the last ten years.
- Q. In that same capacity as the permit manager, do you sign all of the public water supply construction permit applications even if you do not draft them?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As the manager of the permit section in the division of public water supplies what is your involvement in public water supply operating permit applications?
- A. Our staff reviews the applications for operating permits that are submitted by community water supply after construction is completed and prepares a checklist when they're ready for approval and gives them to me for signature.
- Q. Approximately how many public water supply operating permits have you drafted in your capacity as the manager of the permit section in the

division of public water supplies?

- A. So the application is filled out by the community water supplies, so there really isn't a drafting process, but I sign approximately 1300 operating permits per year.
- Q. As the manager of the permit section in the division of public water supplies, what is your involvement in public water supply special exception permit applications?
- A. The permit section reviews community water supply requests to comply with Safe Drinking Water Act requirements and prepares special exception permits in support of those determinations.
- Q. In your capacity as the manager of the permit section in the division of public water supplies, have you ever drafted special exception permits?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Approximately how many?
- A. In the last 10 years, approximately 50.
- Q. Do you sign the special exception permits that are created through the division of public water supplies regardless of whether you draft them?

- A. Within the permit section, yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with Aqua Illinois, Inc.?
- A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

22

23

- Q. How?
- A. Aqua owns and operates several community water supplies in the state of Illinois.
- Q. With respect to the this particular matter, what is Aqua Illinois's interest or what is its involvement, to your knowledge?
 - A. Could you repeat that?
- 11 Q. Sure.
 - Does Aqua Illinois provide drinking water to University Park in Illinois?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know the source of the water that Aqua provides to the residents in University Park?
- 17 A. Currently it's treated water from the 18 Kankakee water system.
- 19 Q. Was it always that case?
- A. Prior to -- prior to 2017, it was from wells, two wells, and University Park.
 - Q. In 2017 Aqua switched the source of the water from groundwater wells to the Kankakee River?
 - A. Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall the exact

date, but yeah, approximately then.

- Q. Are you familiar with the term, the Lead and Copper Rule?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Generally what is that?
- A. The Lead and Copper Rule is a Safe
 Drinking Water Act requirement that has been
 adopted and identical by the State of Illinois in
 Illinois Administrative Code Title 35. The Lead
 and Copper Rule seeks to use corrosion control
 treatment to minimize lead and copper levels in
 community water supplies and the homes that they're
 served by them.
- Q. So public water suppliers in Illinois need to comply with the state Lead and Copper Rule.

Am I understanding that correctly?

- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. And does that include Aqua with regard to its University Park public water system?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You mentioned the term, corrosion control treatment, if I heard you correctly?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You gave us a general understanding of

your -- excuse me, strike that.

You gave us your general understanding of that term. Is there a definition in the Lead and Copper Rule for corrosion control treatment or optimal corrosion control treatment?

- A. Yes. Optimal -- there is a definition, and optimal corrosion control is when they're in compliance with the action level.
- Q. In general, do public water suppliers utilize corrosion control treatment in their systems?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In general, why?
- A. To minimize lead and copper levels in plumbing systems served by their community water supply.
 - Q. In general, who would determine what corrosion control treatment should be utilized for a particular public water system?
- A. The community water supply and their consultants.
- Q. Is it the Illinois Environmental
 Protection Agency who initially makes that
 determination?

1 A. No.

- Q. Has Aqua needed to utilize corrosion control treatment in its University Park public water supply?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You testified previously that Aqua switched the source of its water from groundwater wells to the Kankakee River. Following that switch did Aqua utilize a particular corrosion control treatment in its University Park public water supply?
 - A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. You testified thus far -- and let me just if I may, if you need a break or if you need some water, please let us know. I should have mentioned that at the beginning.

You testified previously that Aqua switched the source of its water from groundwater wells to the Kankakee River. Upon making that switch, did Aqua utilize a particular corrosion control treatment for its public water system?

A. Aqua fed a blended phosphate in a C class that was -- had a dual purpose of corrosion control and sequestration of iron.

- Q. Are you familiar with the term, action level for lead in drinking water?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. What is your understanding of that term?
- A. The action level is the level that when it's exceeded -- when the 90th percentile of samples collected in a monitoring period exceed the action level number, that triggers corrosion control steps.
 - Q. What is the action level for lead?
 - A. 15 micrograms per liter.
- Q. Sometimes I think the phrase that's also used, milligrams per liter; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the difference between micrograms per liter and milligrams per liter, just for the record, would that be for milligrams per liter is 0.015, and micrograms per liter would be 15?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do I have that right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is a public water supplier required to conduct sampling of water in its system for lead?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do a certain -- in general, do a certain number of samples need to be collected?
- A. Yes. The samples are specified in regulation based on population.

- Q. In general, are there time periods within which public water suppliers need to collect the samples to test for lead?
- A. Yes. There's three -- the standard monitoring is every six months, and then reduced monitoring is annually or triannually, every three years.
- Q. After the samples are collected, who handles the analysis?
 - A. The samples are sent to a certified lab.
- Q. And when the certified lab obtains the results, how does Illinois EPA learn of those results?
- A. Certified labs are required to upload those to our database that we refer to as SDWIS.
- Q. Now you mentioned earlier the term,

 90th percentile. Can you tell us just -- let me
 ask it this way.
- Is the 90th percentile the same as a maximum contaminant level or an MCL?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Is the 90th percentile just a calculation of an average?
- A. No. It's the -- in rank order it would be the 90th percentile, the volume.
- Q. Okay. And just for the record, the calculation that you're referring to, is that 358 Illinois Admin Code 611.350C?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware as to whether Aqua exceeded the lead action level for its University Park public water system for the period of January 1 to June 30, 2019?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. As a result of that exceedance, did Aqua change its corrosion control treatment?
- A. Yes. Aqua switched to a blended phosphate that was primarily orthophosphate, but there was still a little bit of polyphosphate in it.
 - Q. Was that Aqua's decision to make?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. After the lead action level exceedance for the period January 1 to June 30, 2019, and Aqua's subsequent change in the corrosion control

treatment as you described, did Aqua conduct sampling of homes within University Park to test for lead?

A. Yes.

MS. PAMENTER: If I a may approach the witness, your Honor. I have a copy of the record, and I also have copies for opposing counsel, the hearing officer. So I don't know if you need an extra copy.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Petitioner was nice enough to bring three, so I don't need one, and Miss Brown has one. The court reporter has one. So I think we're good except for the witness.

MS. PAMENTER: We have extra copies.

May I approach the witness?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may.

MS. PAMENTER: May the record reflect that I'm handing the witness a copy of the record dated September 23, 2022, R18 to R621.

Just for clarification, Hearing Officer, do I need to move that into evidence?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is it already in the record?

MS. PAMENTER: It is the record that was filed

Page 36 1 on September 23. I just didn't know if I needed to mark it and move it into evidence. 2. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may. Are you going to be entering a lot of these exhibits or --4 MS. PAMENTER: I would submit -- I mean, the 5 6 record is filed. And before -- I can do each 7 individual exhibit if need be or move to have the entire record, you know, admitted in evidence with 8 the understanding of Mr. Deeb's motion in limine 9 that was submitted this morning and the hearing 10 11 officer's ruling on it. 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Deeb? 13 MR. DEEB: No objection. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yeah, but the 14 15 Board still notes about the motion in limine that 16 was denied and knows nitrate documents may be 17 contested, but go ahead, Miss Pamenter. MS. PAMENTER: Is this --18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, it's moved. 20 It's fine. It's in evidence, the whole record. Thank you. 21 22 MS. PAMENTER: Thank you. 23 BY MS. PAMENTER:

I'm gonna ask the witness, if you would,

24

Q.

- to please turn to R000522. Please let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay.

2.

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- A. Yes. These are individual samples, lead sample results from University Park.
 - Q. Who created this document?
- A. I queried this information from our SDWIS database.
- Q. Can you just generally describe what each column represents?
- A. Sure. The first column is the facility

 ID. The second column is the sample collection

 date for each individual lead sample. The third

 column is the results. And then if it was zero in

 the sixth column, that shows the detection limit of

 the method, the laboratory method for analysis,

 then units. And then the eighth column is the site

 identification number. And the last redacted

 column would be the individual home address.
- Q. The results are listed in the chart that you prepared from newest to oldest; is that correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Now, I note that at the top of R522 there are some results from July 2022; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. The special exception permit at issue in this permit appeal was dated June 29, 2022, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. As such were the July 2022 results listed on Page R522 considered for purposes of the June 29, 2022, special exception permit?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do lead compliance sampling results assist in determining whether the corrosion control treatment is working?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, you previously testified that there was a lead action level exceedance for the period January 1 to June 30, 2019, and Aqua then changed its corrosion control treatment.

Did Aqua have a lead action level exceedance for the six-month sampling period of July 1 to December 31, 2019, for its University Park public water supply?

A. Yes.

- Q. Did Aqua thereafter change the corrosion control treatment for its system again?
- A. Yes. They changed from the blended phosphate that was primarily ortho to a phosphoric acid that was completed orthophosphate.
- Q. If we can turn to R000296, please, and please let me know when you're there?
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. What is the document that's set forth on R296 to 297?
- A. This is the construction permit for the change in corrosion control treatment to phosphoric acid.
 - Q. And the date of this is April 17, 2020.

 Am I reading that correctly?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. On Page R297, is that your signature?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. And if I look on R296, there in about the middle of the page there's a capitalized wording, proposed improvements. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And underneath it there's a description.

 Is that the change in the corrosion control

- treatment that Illinois EPA approved in April of 2020?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did Aqua have a lead action level exceedance for the six-month sampling period January 1, 2020, to June 30, 2020?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Aqua have a lead action level exceedance for the six-month sampling period of July 1, 2020, to December 31, 2020?
 - A. No.
- Q. So had Aqua found the correct corrosion control treatment for its University Park public water supply?
- A. Well, they need to -- they would have needed two rounds to show -- they would have needed two rounds below the action level before we could have approved optimal water quality control parameters.
- Q. In that time period -- excuse me, strike that.
- After December 31, 2020, did the lead sampling results increase in the spring of 2021?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. Did Aqua have a lead action level exceedance for the six-month sampling period of January 1, 2021 to June 30, 2021?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

- Q. Did Aqua determine why?
- A. Yes. Consultants for Aqua went back and looked at water quality parameters and theorized that zinc played or not -- theorized that nitrates played a role in affecting lead levels in University Park.
- Q. If you would please turn to R000351 and please let me know when you're there?
- A. Okay.
 - Q. Have you seen this document before today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What is it?
 - A. It's a presentation. Aqua was giving us presentations frequently, and this is the presentation about the nitrate issue.
 - Q. And this is dated July 14, 2021.

 Am I reading that correctly?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And then just if we could quickly flip to R -- we're gonna come back to this in a second, but

- quickly flip to R363.
 - A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Was this part of the presentation that you were referring to, what starts on R363?
- A. Yes. These were -- this part was from Virginia Tech and their -- Aqua's consultant, Marc Edwards, and his Ph.D. students.
- Q. If we can go back to actually R000352 and if you could read the first bullet point, please?
- A. Recently observed elevated river nitrate levels from farm runoff is coinciding with lead level increases in certain homes that have not recovered. Recent river nitrate levels were extremely high making the trend more apparent.
- Q. So is this the issue to which you were referring earlier that Aqua notified the Illinois EPA of in the spring of 2021?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And then made these presentations in July 2021 relating to what they had previously notified the Illinois EPA of?
- A. Yeah. I don't recall when they notified us, but yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Okay. I just want to -- one bullet down

- on R000352 there is a reference to Dr. Marc Edwards. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. Does he work for the Illinois EPA or for Aqua?
- A. Only in -- he doesn't work for the Illinois EPA, and he represented Aqua in a consulting capacity. He's a professor at Virginia Tech University.
- Q. Okay. In the next bullet down you'll see a reference to Dr. David Cornwell.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does Dr. Cornwell work for the Illinois
 EPA or for Aqua?
 - A. Dr. Cornwell doesn't work for Illinois EPA, and he is a consultant for Agua.
 - Q. Now, just recognizing neither Dr. Edwards or Cornwell are here and have been proffered as an expert witness, but you testified earlier that public water suppliers like Aqua need to determine the correct corrosion control treatment for their systems, and then you receive information from the public water suppliers.

Do you rely upon such information from consultants like Dr. Edwards and Dr. Cornwell in reviewing corrosion control treatment recommendations?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, in addition to Aqua's theory that nitrate concentrations may be contributing to increased lead levels, was Aqua researching in July 2021 to your knowledge whether a correlation existed between other water quality parameters and increased lead levels?
- A. Yes. They reviewed several water quality parameters. I don't have a complete list, but the one that I remember was chloride and specifically the chloride to sulfate mass ratio.
- Q. Did Aqua's studies indicate whether a particular type of corrosion control treatment may work better when there are high nitrate levels?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any particular weather conditions that may cause nitrate levels to be higher?
- A. Nitrate's variable in the source and can increase following periods of heavy rainfall.

- Q. As a result of the information that Aqua had obtained from its consultants, did Aqua make a change in its corrosion control treatment for its University Park public water system after July 1 of 2021?
- A. Yes. They switched from phosphoric acid to a zinc orthophosphate.
- Q. So just so I have it correctly, they started with a blended phosphate mix. They made a change to a new blended phosphate comprised primarily of orthophosphate. Aqua then made a change to orthophosphate in the form of phosphoric acid, and now we're making a further change to I believe you said zinc orthophosphate; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. In July 2021 -- strike that.

 Let's go to R000383. Please let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay.

Q. If we look at R000383 to R000385, is this the July 2021 construction permit that Illinois EPA issued to Aqua regarding its request to modify the corrosion control treatment to zinc orthophosphate?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you prepare the July 2021 construction permit?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you as the permit section manager draft this permit as opposed to someone else in the permit section?
- A. I was most familiar with the issue in the permit section, and it was -- it didn't involve a change in equipment, just a change in chemical. So I felt I was best able to do that.
- Q. If we look at R000385, is that your signature?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's turn to R000402, please, and let me know when you're there.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. Was this one of the documents Aqua submitted to the Illinois EPA in support of its July 2021 construction permit application?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if you turn to R000404, I will note that when we filed the record on the docket, we had highlighted sentences included. We do have copies

of the record with that highlighting showing. To the extent anyone in the room doesn't, I will note the sentence for the record.

So there's a sentence at the top of R000404 that starts, Aqua Illinois has postulated.

Do you see that sentence?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Okay. And that was highlighted by whom?
- A. By me during the application review.
 - Q. Why did you highlight that sentence?
- A. I felt it was important. It was reflective of what Aqua's -- Aqua and their consultant had been telling us.
- Q. If you turn to R000405, at the top of that page there is another highlighted sentence. Though you did not highlight the start, in the spring of 2021, you highlighted thereafter, nitrate as high as 8.1 mg per L was observed at the Kankakee WTP, and it goes on from there.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And again is that your highlighting?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Why did you highlight that particular

sentence?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Just to show the range of nitrate observed in University Park, and it was important due to the correlation that Aqua had presented between lead and nitrate levels.
- Q. Now, if we look back at the first page of this document, R000402, it's dated July 15, 2021; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So at the time that this was written, Aqua had not changed to zinc orthophosphate as its corrosion control treatment; is that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
 - Q. Let's take a look at R000436. Please let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Is this the corresponding operating permit that Illinois EPA issued to Aqua authorizing the request to modify the corrosion control treatment to zinc orthophosphate?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you prepare the July 2021 operating permit?
 - A. I mean, the application was completed by

Aqua, and I signed -- after reviewing I signed the application at the bottom.

- Q. So just for the record, your signature is at the bottom of Page R000436; is that right?
 - A. Yes, approving the operating permit.
- Q. So your testimony has been that the July 2021 construction permit was issued and which would afford Aqua the ability to utilize zinc orthophosphate as its corrosion control treatment in the University Park public water supply.

Have I stated that correctly?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did Aqua have a lead action level exceedance for the six-month sampling period of July 1, 2021, to December 31, 2021, after it instituted the zinc orthophosphate?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. I appreciate your going through that foundation. Let's take a look at the letters that Aqua submitted to the Illinois EPA which are what underlies this particular permit appeal.

If you would please take a look at -we're gonna look at two documents, R000001 and
R000008, please.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. Are these the two letters that Aqua sent to Illinois EPA seeking -- let me start with that.

Are these the two letters that

Aqua Illinois sent to the Illinois EPA in March of
2022?

- A. Yes. One was received at the end of March. The other one was received a day later, April 1.
- Q. Are the letters substantially the same?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did Illinois EPA treat them as one?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did Aqua request through the March 2022 letters?
 - A. To modify the permit condition regarding monthly monitoring in the zinc orthophosphate permit.
 - Q. When you say the zinc orthophosphate permit, just for the record, is that the 2021 construction permit that we've been discussing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. If we look at R000003 and R000009, please
 let me know when you're there.

A. Okay.

- Q. There's an italicized paragraph on both of those pages in the middle that starts, collect between 40 and 60. Do you see that paragraph on both of those pages?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is this paragraph the language that Aqua was seeking from Illinois EPA in a special exception permit or other permit?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Up until the date of the Aqua letters,
 March 24 and March 28, 2022, respectively, had Aqua
 been conducting sampling at a particular frequency?
 - A. Yes, monthly.
- Q. Okay. And so through these two letters the particular language that is set forth on, for short version, R3 and R9, is Aqua seeking to have the monthly compliance sampling requirement eliminated as of March 31, 2022?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Just to -- I believe you indicated that you received one of these letters at the end of March and one of these letters at the beginning of April. Did I hear that correctly?

1 A. Yes.

- Q. How long does Illinois EPA have to respond to a request like those set forth in the March 24 and March 28, 2022, letters?
 - A. 90 days.
- Q. Does Aqua set forth in the March 24 and March 28 letters the same background and justification for its request that the monthly compliance sampling requirement in the July 2021 construction permit be eliminated as of the end of March 2022?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So let's talk about those justifications. The first one can be found in a couple of places, for the record, Page R1 in the first paragraph, R3 in the first paragraph, R8 in the first paragraph and R9 in the first paragraph. There's a sentence that reads, quote, circumstances have changed, and the UP water system now meets the lead action level as of the July through December 2021 compliance monitoring period.

Do you see that reference on those pages?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Was that a sufficient justification to

grant Aqua's request to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?

A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why?
- A. Because in order to ensure that the water is assuredly safe, the theory with nitrate levels affecting lead -- nitrate concentrations affecting lead levels had to be tested.
- Q. As of this time period at the end of March of 2022, had Aqua previously selected a corrosion control treatment, then had one six-month compliance sampling period below the lead action level, and then had a lead action level exceedance in the next consecutive six-month sampling period?
 - A. Could you repeat the question?
- 16 Q. Sure.

As of March of 2022, had Aqua previously selected a corrosion control treatment, then had one six-month compliance sampling period below the lead action level, and then had a lead action level exceedance in the next six-month compliance sampling period?

- A. Yeah. Yes.
- Q. Going back to the letters that start on R1

and R8, and again I'm gonna -- the same pages that I referenced earlier, it appears that there is an additional justification, though in slightly different wording on those pages, that generally provides on February 15, 2022, Aqua Illinois submitted its final, excuse me, final optimal corrosion control treatment recommendation of zinc orthophosphate.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, just to clarify the record, in a couple of those places there's a reference to an Exhibit A, excuse me, an Attachment A. Do you see that reference to an Attachment A?

I can give you a particular example.

- A. Okay.
 - Q. So on Page R8 at the end of the first paragraph, it says the final OCCT recommendation is included as Attachment A.

Do you see that reference?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And just for the record, if you would, can you turn to R000471, please, and let me know when you're there?

1 A. Okay.

Q. Is this the final optimal corrosion control treatment recommendation? It continues, I should say, R488 and R495, excuse me, R494, if you want to check those pages as well.

Is this the corrosion control -- final corrosion control treatment recommendation that's referred to in the March 24 and March 28 letters?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So just to go back, as an additional justification Aqua indicates that it submitted its final optimal corrosion control treatment on February 15, 2022, to the Illinois EPA identifying zinc orthophosphate as the optimal treatment.

Was that a sufficient justification to grant Aqua's request to eliminate the monthly sampling requirement?

- A. No.
- Q. Why?
- A. Again, Aqua had to show that the water was assuredly safe by testing during periods when nitrate was high to see the effect on lead levels.
 - Q. And just to clarify, you've used the

phrase assuredly safe. Is there a particular regulation that corresponds to that phrase?

- A. Yes. It's Title 35, Illinois
 Administrative Code Section 601.101.
- Q. Let's go back to Aqua's March 24 and March 28 letters, and if you would please look at R4 and Pages R4 and R10, please.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. On those -- starting on those two pages, Aqua lists seven additional justifications for granting its request to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement. And if you need to flip, you know, pages, there's a Number 1 through 7 that are set forth thereafter.

Do you see those?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you review those prior to today?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you review them prior to June 29, 2022, the date of the issuance of the special exception permit at issue in this appeal?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In general, were those sufficient justifications to grant Aqua's request to eliminate

the monthly compliance sampling requirement?

A. No.

2.

Q. Okay. Let's walk through those. We've already spoken about number one briefly. Let's turn to -- and I should say, when we were discussing the sentence that reads, circumstances have changed and the UP water system now meets the lead action level as of the July to December 2021 compliance monitoring period, that corresponds to that earlier testimony.

So turning to number two, and please if you need to, take a moment to review it, but was number two set forth on Pages R4 and R10 a sufficient justification to grant Aqua's request to eliminate the monthly sampling requirement?

- A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Because to show the water's assuredly safe, Aqua needs to monitor during periods of high nitrate in the water to see the effect on lead levels.
- Q. On Pages R4 and then R10 through 11 you'll see a reference to a number three for their justifications. Do you see those references?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was number three a sufficient justification to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. In order to show the water's assuredly safe, Aqua needs to monitor for lead during periods of high nitrate.
- Q. Now, on the top of Page R5 and 11 there's a reference to a table, 611. Table D.

Do you see those two charts?

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are they the same on each of those pages?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, on this chart there's a reference -- there's a column that sets forth system size.

19 Do you see that?

- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you familiar with the system size that relates to Aqua's University Park public water system?
 - A. Approximately, yes.

- 1 So would it -- which range as set forth in 0. 2 the first column would Aqua's University Park 3 public water system fall within? 4 Α. Their population's between 3,301 to 10,000. 5 6 The second column then sets forth what? 0. 7 The number of lead and copper monitoring Α. sites. 8 So for Aqua's University Park public water 9 0. system, how many sampling sites are set forth? 10 11 Α. For standard monitoring it would be 12 40 sample sites. Okay. If we look on Pages R5 and R11, 13 Q. there is a fourth justification that Aqua set forth 14 15 for its request to eliminate the monthly compliance
 - Do you see those references?
 - Α. Yes.

sampling requirement.

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Was the fourth justification sufficient for the Illinois EPA to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?
- 22 And if you need to, please take some time to review it. 23
- 24 Α. No.

1 Q. Why not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. In order to ensure the water's assuredly safe, we need Aqua to monitor during periods of high nitrates.
- Q. Just to finish out these justifications, R5 and R12, there is a fifth justification set forth. Was that a sufficient justification for Illinois EPA to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. In order to ensure the water's assuredly safe, we need Aqua to monitor for lead during periods of high nitrate.
- Q. Taking a look again at Pages R8, excuse me, R6 and R12, there's a sixth justification set forth on those pages. Was that a sufficient justification to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. In order to ensure the water's assuredly safe, we need Aqua to monitor for lead during periods of high nitrate.

- Q. Okay. And then, finally, on pages -starting on Page R6 and continuing, it appears, on
 to Page R7, and then also starting on Page R12 and
 continuing on to Page R13, there is a seventh
 justification set forth on those pages; is that
 correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Was that a sufficient justification to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

- 12 **Q.** Why not?
- A. I mean, this justification was regarding other systems, not Aqua.
 - Q. Did Illinois EPA issue a response to Aqua's March 24 and March 28 of 2022 letters?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. If you would please take a look at the document that starts on R000014 and ends on R000016, please, and let me know when you're there?
 - A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?

- A. It was the response to the March letters. It was a special exception permit issued in response to the March letters to modify the condition about monthly sampling.
- Q. And just to be clear, the letters that you're referring to are Aqua's March 24 and March 28, 2022, letters that we've been discussing?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. If you will, please, turn to Page R000016.

 Is that your signature on that page?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Who prepared the special exception permit dated June 29, 2022, that starts on R000014?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Why did you as the section -- excuse me.

 Why did you as the permit section manager

 prepare a draft as opposed to someone else in the

 permit section?
- A. Well, I had drafted the construction permit for the zinc orthophosphate, so it made sense for me to review the request to modify the conditions.
- Q. And just for the record purposes, when you say the permit relating to zinc orthophosphate,

- that's the 2021 construction permit that we've been discussing previously?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did anyone instruct you as to how to prepare the special exception permit that was issued on June 29, 2022?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did anyone else prepare a draft permit in response to Aqua's March 24 and March 28, 2022, letters?
- A. No.
 - Q. Did you meet with anyone regarding the language of what would be the special exception permit dated June 29, 2022?
 - A. After I had prepared it, I met with Sanjay Sofat, Mike Brown and Mike Roubitchek.
 - Q. And just in general, do they work for the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency?
 - A. Yes. Sanjay Sofat is the bureau chief for Bureau of Water. Mike Brown is the division manager for public water supplies, and Mike Roubitchek is division of legal counsel.
 - Q. Did you make any changes to the language of the special exception permit as a result of that

1 meeting?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at this as related to the condition that's at issue now in this permit appeal, additional condition Number 6. So if you'll turn to Page R000016, and let me know when you're there?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Now, you'll see there's a number six at the top, and then there's a paragraph that follows right thereafter.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the first sentence of that what I'll call second paragraph reads, as the agreed interim order requires monthly monitoring, Aqua's request to modify additional condition Number 6 is denied.

Did I read that correctly?

- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Just to clarify this agreed interim order reference, as of June 29, 2022, were you aware of the case, People of the State of Illinois versus Aqua Illinois pending in the Circuit Court of Will County?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. As of June 29, 2022, were you aware of the allegations made against Aqua in that case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As of June 29, 2022, were you aware of the agreed interim order that had been entered in that case on November 1 of 2019?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if we can, just so that everyone can find it in the record, if you go to R000602, please let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Is this the agreed interim order to which you reference on Page R000016 in the special exception permit?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And if you flip to the next -- the very next page, R000603, there's a Paragraph 4. The parties listed out the allegations in the complaint against Aqua in that Paragraph 4; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And with respect to the first allegation that starts with -- there's an A. It's the fourth line down. That particular allegation relates to

- 35 Illinois Admin Code 601.101; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.

- Q. And that relates to your prior testimony about the water needing to be -- the water in Aqua's University Park public water system needed to be assuredly safe; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So going back to the special exception
 permit that starts R000014 through R000016, why was
 Aqua's request to modify additional condition
 Number 6 denied in addition to your prior testimony
 that the justifications they set forth in their
 letters were not sufficient?
- A. Because the nitrate data submitted by Aqua since the zinc orthophosphate operating permit was issued wasn't high enough to test the theory that nitrate levels could affect -- that nitrate concentrations could affect lead levels in University Park.
- Q. And with respect to the theory that you referenced, was that Aqua's and its consultant's theory?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Had Aqua shown through the March 24 and

March 28, 2022, letters that it would not violate the act or the Board regulations if the monthly sampling requirement were eliminated?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Did Aqua submit to Illinois EPA any further justifications in support of its request to eliminate the monthly compliance sampling requirement between March 28, 2022, and June 29, 2022?
- A. No.
 - Q. And just for the record, those references, March 28, 2022, is the second of the two letter requests that Aqua submitted; is that right?
 - A. Repeat that, please.
- 15 Q. Yeah, of course.

March 28, 2022, that corresponds to the second of the two letter requests that Aqua submitted to Illinois EPA; is that right?

- A. That's the letter date that we received this on April 1, yes.
 - Q. And understood you received it after that date.
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. Thank you for the clarification.

And then June 29, 2022, is the date of the special exception permit. Do I have that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, if I look again going back to R000016, are you still at that page?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, with regards to number six that's set forth on that page, the first sentence speaks to additional condition Number 6 of the 2021 permit as being duplicative of the lead compliance monitoring requirement in the agreed interim order.

Do you see that reference?

- A. Yes.
- Q. How was additional condition Number 6 in the 2021 permit duplicate of the lead compliance monitoring requirement in the agreed interim order?
- A. With respect to the -- they both had monthly monitoring requirements for lead sampling.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at that a little bit more closely. We're gonna look at two documents at the same time, R000384, which just for the record is the second page of the July 30, 2021, construction permit that was issued for the switch to zinc orthophosphate as Aqua's corrosion control

1 treatment; and then simultaneously if you could

- 2 also have in front of you, if we can, R000602.
- 3 And, actually, more specifically I'm gonna have you
- 4 go to R000609, please, which is one of the pages
- 5 within the agreed interim order.
- 6 If you could let me know when you have
- 7 those two pages in front of you. Please let me
- 8 know if I need to repeat either page, please also
- 9 let me know.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. All right. So comparing additional
- 12 | condition Number 6 of the 2021 permit that's set
- 13 forth on R000384 and Section F in the agreed
- 14 interim order concerning requirements for
- 15 compliance sampling that starts on R000609, how are
- 16 | they duplicative?
- 17 A. They both require monthly monitoring for
- 18 lead in University Park.
- 19 Q. Well, if I look at R000384, at the
- 20 beginning of Number 6 it says, collect between
- 21 | 40 and 60 lead compliance samples.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.

24

Q. Where is the reference to 40 to 60 lead

	Page 70
1	compliance samples in Section F of the agreed
2	interim order?
3	A. Section F has a reference to the
4	regulation for the minimum number of samples.
5	Q. And, I'm sorry, the reference to the
6	regulation to which you're referring is what?
7	A. 611.356.
8	Q. And why strike that, excuse me.
9	And but what about the upper limit, the
10	60 lead compliance samples?
11	A. That was in the permit, but not in the
12	order.
13	Q. Why?
14	A. To have consistent monthly monitoring
15	taking approximately the same number of samples
16	each month.
17	Q. As of June 29, 2022, was the upper limit
18	of 60 still needed?
19	A. As of June 29, no.
20	Q. 2022?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Turning back to R000384 and 609, is there
23	a reference to monthly excuse me.

Is there a reference to monthly sampling

Page 71 1 instead of six-month sampling in both of those 2 provisions? 3 Α. Can you repeat? 4 Yes, of course. That's my fault when the Q. 5 question doesn't make sense. 6 So we're gonna look at R000384 with regard 7 to additional condition Number 6 at the bottom of 8 that page. 9 Do you see that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And then simultaneously R000609, 12 Section F, concerning requirements for compliance 13 sampling. 14 Do you see those two? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Is there a reference to ave monthly 17 sampling in both? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Is there a reference to collecting 20 compliance samples only from approved sample site locations? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Now, in R000609, again, is that reference Q. 24 to the regulation?

Page 72 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Now, on R000384, additional condition 3 Number 6, the second sentence starts, consideration 4 should be given. 5 Do you see that sentence? 6 Repeat the location, please. Α. 7 Of course. 0. R000384, which is the 2021 construction 8 permit, Number 6, we're talking additional 9 condition Number 6. 10 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And the second sentence starts, Q. consideration should be given. 14 15 Do you see that sentence? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Can you find that sentence also in Q. Section F of the agreed interim order on R000609? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Is consideration should be given, is that Q. particular sentence on R000384 mandatory? 21 22 Α. No. 23 Why not? Q. 24 Cause it uses the word should, we just Α.

- wanted the -- there was a sampling pool of approximately 80 homes, and we requested that high homes be given consideration for resampling.
 - Q. Whose decision was it, though, as to whether to take that into consideration and actually apply it in the sampling?
 - A. Aqua's. It was just a recommendation.
 - Q. Also on R000384, additional condition

 Number 6 at the end of the page, the third sentence starts, consideration should also be given.

Do you see that sentence?

12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Is that sentence in the agreed interim order Section F on R000609?
- A. No.
 - Q. And again is the sentence, consideration should also be given, that third sentence mandatory?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Whose decision was it to take nitrate
 CMS -- CSMR into consideration when doing the
 sampling?
- A. Aqua's.
 - Q. As of June 29, 2022, had Aqua been below

the lead action level for two consecutive six-month compliance sampling periods?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. For the six-month period of January 1 to June 30, 2022, what date did Aqua have until to report the compliance sampling results?
 - A. July 10 of 2022.
- Q. Is there a particular regulation that corresponds to that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And, in fact, if we -- if you still have the R000609 open, Paragraph 14, in the third line toward the end of that paragraph -- excuse me.

In the third line of the first sentence in that paragraph reads, Aqua is required to report the compliance sampling results to Illinois EPA within ten days after the end of each applicable six-month sampling period pursuant to 35 Illinois Admin Code 611.360A.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the regulation to which you were referring?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. As such on June 29, 2022, did Illinois EPA know whether or not Aqua had a lead action level exceedance for the period of January 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022?
 - A. No.

- Q. Why did Illinois EPA issue this special exception permit on June 29, 2022, instead of waiting until the ten days to run on July 10 of 2022?
- A. Because the 90-day time frame was ending -- was expiring at the end of June.
- Q. When you say the 90-day time period, is that the time within which Illinois EPA has to respond to a request for a special exception permit like what Aqua did in the March 24 and March 28 letters?
- A. Yes.
- Q. If Aqua had met two consecutive six-month compliance sampling periods below the lead action level at the time of its issuance of the 2022 permit, would Illinois EPA have eliminated the monthly compliance sampling requirement as Aqua had requested?
 - A. No.

- Q. Why as of June 29, 2022, did Aqua need to keep conducting monthly compliance sampling in accordance with the agreed interim order?
- A. To understand the effects of nitrate on lead levels in University Park.
- Q. What is your understanding of how nitrate levels are affecting lead releases in University Park?
- A. Aqua's consultant theorized that there's a correlation between higher nitrate concentrations and lead levels.
- Q. Now, you previously testified about the July 14, 2021, presentations that Aqua and its consultants had presented to Illinois EPA.

Did Aqua conduct further studies after

July 14, 2021, regarding nitrate's possible impact

on lead release in University Park with zinc

orthophosphate as the corrosion control treatment?

A. Yes.

- Q. Let's turn to R000452, please. Please let me know when you are there.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. What is this?
 - A. This was an additional presentation on

- effects of -- on effects of nitrate -- on nitrate
 effects on lead conducted by Virginia Tech
 University researchers.
 - Q. And just for the record, if we can also turn to R000443, please, just let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Is this also part of the Aqua's presentation to which you were referring?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Q. And the date of these two presentations on R443 and R452?
- 13 A. October 29 of 2021.
 - Q. As of October 29 of 2021, had Aqua shown that zinc orthophosphate worked in Aqua's University Park public water supply when there were high levels of nitrate?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. If you'll turn to R000469, please. I
 apologize. No. I did have it correct, so I'll
 take that back. If you look at the last bullet on
 that page that starts zinc orthophosphate, do you
 see that?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. And the sentence continues, continues to show promise. Had, as of October 29, 2021, Aqua made a determination regarding zinc orthophosphate and its ability to address nitrate as related to lead levels in its University Park public water supply?
 - A. Could you clarify that, please?
 - Q. Sure.

The fourth bullet on Page R000469 starts, zinc orthophosphate continues to show promise.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. As such as of October 29, 2022, had Aqua shown that the zinc orthophosphate which was its new corrosion control treatment worked in the Aqua public -- University Park public water system when there were high levels of nitrate?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did Aqua submit information with its
 March 24 and March 28, 2022, letters to show that
 the concerns it raised with the Illinois EPA
 regarding nitrate variability and its impact on
 lead levels had been addressed through the use of
 zinc orthophosphate as the corrosion control

treatment?

- A. No.
- Q. Between March 28, 2022, the date of Aqua's second letter, and June 29, 2022, the date that Illinois EPA issued the special exception permit, did Aqua submit any such information?
 - A. No.
 - Q. If we can go back to R000402, please?
- A. Okay.
 - Q. So you previously testified about the document that Dr. David Cornwell, a consultant for Aqua, prepared as of July 15, 2022, in support of the 2021 construction permit which then brought about zinc orthophosphate as the corrosion control treatment.

If we go to R000405 of that document, the top highlighted sentence that starts as, excuse me, in the spring of 2021, nitrate as high as 8.1 mg per L was observed.

Do you see that reference?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And as of spring of 2021, Aqua was not using zinc orthophosphate as its corrosion control treatment, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. As such when Aqua observed nitrate as high as 8.1 mg per L, and there's also a reference in that sentence to 6.7 mg per L, as of that time Aqua could not understand the effect, if any, on nitrate on lead levels when using zinc orthophosphate as its corrosion control treatment, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. After Aqua's introduction of zinc orthophosphate into the University Park public water supply in July 2021, did Aqua submit any nitrate results over 5.0 mg per L?
 - A. No.
- Q. And why is 5.0 mg per L an important figure?
- A. I mean, that's just their range that they tested for in University Park that -- those were the -- that was the range, 0 to 5. And it didn't get higher than that during that time period.
- Q. Do you believe that the information

 Illinois EPA did receive as of June 29, 2022,

 demonstrated that zinc -- that using zinc

 orthophosphate would allow Aqua to be below the

 lead action level in its University Park public

water system?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- A. Could you repeat that, please?
- Q. Yeah, I didn't do a very good job with that one.

Do you believe that the information

Illinois EPA received from Aqua as of June 29,

2021 -- 2022, excuse me, demonstrated that using

zinc orthophosphate as its corrosion control

treatment would allow Aqua to be below the lead

action level in its University Park public water

system?

- A. No.
- Q. Do you believe that the information
 Illinois EPA received from Aqua as of June 29,
 2022, demonstrated that using zinc orthophosphate
 would ensure that the water in Aqua's University
 Park public water system was assuredly safe?
- 18 A. No.
 - Q. Do you stand by your decision regarding additional condition Number 6 in the June 29, 2022, special exception permit?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MS. PAMENTER: No further questions.
- 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,

Page 82 1 Miss Pamenter. 2 We can go off the record. 3 (WHEREUPON, a short recess was 4 taken.) 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. 6 back on the record. I think Mr. Deeb may have some 7 cross. You may continue or proceed. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DEEB: 9 Good morning, Mr. Cook. My name is Dan 10 0. 11 Deeb, attorney for petitioner, Aqua. Nice to meet 12 you. 13 Α. Nice to meet you. Let's start, if you would -- and I don't 14 Q. 15 have many questions, but let me start, if I may, 16 with the 2022 permit that was issued on June 29, 17 2022. It's record Number R, bunch of zeros, 14. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Q. If I could take you to the last page of 20 that Number 16? 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. Thank you. Take your time. 23 Are you there? 24 Α. Yes.

- 1 There's a paragraph right above Q. Okay. 2 your signature block? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Could you read that first sentence, Q. 5 please? 6 As the agreed interim order requires Α. 7 monthly monitoring, Aqua's request to modify 8 additional condition Number 6 is denied. Does this permit state any other reason 9 Q. for denial of Aqua's request to modify additional 10 11 Condition 6? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Did you provide any other writing to Agua Illinois explaining a reason or reasons for 14 the denial that was referenced in this 2022 permit? 15 16 Α. No. 17 A few times today there have been 0. discussions about switches of treatment 18 19 technologies. I have a couple questions on that. 20 The switches to orthophosphate and zinc orthophosphate, were those approved by the Illinois 21 22 EPA?
 - A. Yes.

23

24

Q. Okay. Those weren't decisions that

Page 84 1 Aqua Illinois made on its own or without 2 consultation with the agency? 3 Α. Correct. I believe I heard a reference to a use of 4 0. 5 phosphoric acid as a treatment? 6 Α. Yes. 7 I wanted to clarify. When was phosphoric Q. acid used, if you recall? 8 9 I believe it was starting in April of Α. 2020. 10 11 0. Thank you. 12 Your testimony today I believe mentioned a 13 need for monitoring during periods of high nitrates? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Is that true? 17 Α. Yes. So where should nitrates be high for that 18 0. 19 monitoring? Should that be in the river water? 20 Should that be in the -- at the waste water 21 treatment plant or within some point in the 22 University Park water system?

The latter, within the University Park

23

24

Α.

water system.

- Q. At any particular point that you wish to see the high nitrate levels?
- A. The nitrate concentration won't -- there's no treatment to remove nitrate, so monitoring at a single point at the entry points of the system would be sufficient.
- Q. Okay. And how high? You mentioned that it should be high nitrates. What level do you believe to be sufficiently high for this monitoring to occur?
- A. Well, I mean, Aqua's indicated that its been as high as 8.1, and the MCL is 10 milligrams per liter, so, you know, between 5 and 10.
 - Q. And where should the monitoring occur?
- A. University Park at the Central Avenue Booster Station.
 - Q. Thank you.

- Does or did the permit issued on June 29, 2022, require monitoring or sampling during periods of high nitrate?
- A. It required the continued monitoring for nitrate.
- Q. Did it require --
 - A. Oh.

- Q. Did it require monitoring or additional monitoring when nitrates were at the higher levels you've mentioned?
- A. I believe it required either weekly or biweekly monitoring for nitrate, so that would continue.
- Q. But the monthly or weekly monitoring, that -- the frequency of that was agnostic to what the nitrate levels were?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. How does monthly compliance sampling at customer homes help identify system conditions when nitrate levels are high?
- A. Lead monitoring at customer homes shows whether or not there's a correlation with nitrate and shows the effectiveness of the zinc orthophosphate treatment.
- Q. Monthly monitoring for home can be conducted by Aqua under the Lead and Copper Rule, which we've discussed earlier, on any given day of a month, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And sampling is not required at any additional or different level when nitrate levels

- are high or low, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You've mentioned the lead action level of 15 parts per billion, I believe, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you've also talked about assuredly safe, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What level of lead is assuredly safe?
- 10 A. That's a narrative standard, so there's
 11 not a specific level of lead that's assuredly safe.
- 12 The CDC says there's no safe level, but that's not
- in the regulation. It just says assuredly safe.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Cook, could you
- 15 please keep your voice up? Thanks.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No, no. That's
- 18 fine.
- 19 BY MR. DEEB:
- 20 Q. Do you believe that a public water system
- 21 | can meet the lead action level but still not
- 22 provide drinking water that is assuredly safe for
- 23 **lead?**
- 24 MS. PAMENTER: Objection to the extent it calls

Page 88 1 for a legal conclusion. 2. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, 3 Miss Pamenter. Can you speak up? MS. PAMENTER: I apologize. Objection to the 4 extent that it calls for a legal conclusion. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if 7 he's able. Overruled. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? 8 9 MR. DEEB: Certainly. BY MR. DEEB: 10 Do you believe that a public water system 11 0. can meet the lead action level but still not 12 13 provide concurrently drinking water that is assuredly safe for lead? 14 15 It's possible. Α. 16 Q. And what's your basis for that belief? 17 Well, lead concentrations vary over time, Α.

so a system could be in compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule but still have periods of higher lead results due to water quality conditions.

MR. DEEB: No further questions.

22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

23 MS. PAMENTER: Just one moment if I may.

24

18

19

20

Page 89 1 (WHEREUPON, a discussion was had 2. off the record.) 3 MS. PAMENTER: The respondent has no further questions of the witness. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you 6 Miss Pamenter. 7 Mr. Deeb, any rebuttal. No, sir. 8 MR. DEEB: HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. 9 Miss Brown, any questions? 10 11 All right. Let's go off the record for a 12 minute. 13 (WHEREUPON, a short recess was 14 taken.) 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Just some preliminary or administrative stuff to 16 17 establish that Hearing Officer Exhibit 1 was accepted over objection, and that is a complaint 18 for injunctive relief in several counties. 19 20 MR. GRANT: And the answer as well. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And the answer as 21 22 well. Also, the record was admitted into evidence, 23 and that's marked as Hearing Exhibit Number 2. 24 And that's over objection from Mr. Deeb

and basically what he argues in his motion in limine, correct?

MR. DEEB: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The nitrate docs weren't included in the first amended record.

MR. DEEB: Correct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And we're gonna proceed to -- we already have an established and agreed to posthearing briefing schedule. And it is the parties are to file simultaneous opening briefs on or before October 21, 2022, file simultaneous responses on or before November 9, 2022. Public comment, if any, is due to be filed on or before October 14, 2022. The record in this appeal closes on November 9, 2022.

And just a note, we have requested an expedited transcript, and I believe the transcript should be coming in on Monday, October 3. I want to thank you all for your politeness, civility and demeanor. Everything was terrific. Have a great day.

(WHEREUPON, proceedings were concluded at 11:15 a.m.)

September 28, 2022

```
Page 91
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
                               SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 4
 5
              RAELENE STAMM being first duly sworn, on
 6
     oath says that she is a court reporter doing
    business in the City of Chicago; and that she
 7
 8
     reported in shorthand the proceedings of said
 9
     hearing, and that the foregoing is a true and
10
     correct transcript of her shorthand notes so taken
11
     as aforesaid, and contains the proceedings given at
12
     said hearing.
13
14
15
                   Certified Shorthand Reporter
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

				<u></u>
A	Admin 34:8 66:1	apologize 77:20	67:20 84:9	68:24 73:7,23
a.m 1:18 90:23	74:19	88:4	Aqua 1:4 5:5 11:5	76:9 77:8,15
ability 10:1 49:8	administrative	apparent 42:14	13:3,7,18 14:19	79:3 80:9 81:16
78:4	13:14 29:9 56:4	appeal 5:8 10:23	15:7,13,14,18,20	83:7,10 85:11
able 46:11 88:7	89:16	13:9 14:6 15:12	15:24 16:3,7	area 14:18 23:19
above-entitled	admissions 13:18	38:5 49:21	28:2,5,8,12,16	Arent 2:3 6:5,7
1:13	admitted 36:8	56:21 64:5	28:22 29:18	argues 90:1
accept 13:23 14:4	89:22	90:15	31:2,6,9,17,20	arguments 11:9
accept 13.23 14.4 accepted 5:14	adopted 22:12	APPEARANCES	31:22 34:10,15	11:15
89:18	29:8	2:1	34:17 35:1	asked 9:12
acid 39:5,13 45:6	affect 66:17,18	appears 54:2 61:2	38:18,20 39:1	assigned 5:4 25:9
45:13 84:5,8	afford 49:8	applicable 74:17	40:4,8,12 41:1,5	assist 38:12
act 16:10 19:23	aforesaid 91:11	applicant 22:7	41:6,17 42:16	assuredly 15:5
20:9 22:12	afternoon 5:23	application 16:9	43:5,7,15,17,21	53:6 55:22 56:1
24:22 27:12	6:20 7:2	20:5,6 21:22	44:8 45:1,2,11	57:18 58:7 60:2
24:22 27:12 29:7 67:2	agency 1:8 5:7	22:1,2,3,4 27:2	45:23 46:18	60:12,22 66:6
	6:12 16:18 18:2	46:20 47:9	47:5,12 48:4,10	81:17 87:6,9,11
acted 7:24	18:9 20:8 22:10	48:24 49:2	48:18 49:1,8,13	87:13,22 88:14
acting 24:6	24:4,17 30:23	applications	49:20 50:2,5,14	Attachment 54:13
action 13:9 14:23	63:18 84:2	18:13,21 19:3,11	51:7,11,12,17	54:14,19
15:3,20 30:8	agnostic 86:8	19:16 20:17	52:6 53:10,17	attempt 7:12
32:1,5,8,10	ago 7:9	21:1,10 22:16	54:5 55:11,21	10:12
34:11,22 38:17	agreed 8:12 15:9	24:19,23 25:13	56:10 57:19	attorney 2:13 3:4
38:20 40:4,8,17	15:12 64:15,20	25:18,19,23	58:8 59:14 60:3	5:20 6:10,14
41:1 49:13	65:6,13 68:11,16	26:10,16,17 27:9	60:13,23 61:14	82:11
52:19 53:12,13	69:5,13 70:1	applies 22:8	64:23 65:3,20	August 7:5 8:2
53:20,20 57:8	72:18 73:13	applies 22.8 apply 73:6	66:14,24 67:5,13	10:9
74:1 75:2,19	76:3 83:6 90:9	appreciate 49:18	67:17 73:24	authenticity
80:24 81:10	ahead 36:17	approach 35:5,15	74:5,15 75:2,15	13:21
87:3,21 88:12	air 19:17	approval 26:21	75:18,22 76:1,13	authorizing 48:18
activation 20:1	Alex 2:5 6:6	approvals 24:23	76:15 77:14	ave 71:16
addition 15:22	allegation 65:22	1 1	78:2,13,15,19	Avenue 85:15
44:6 66:11	65:24	approve 19:2 20:24		
additional 7:8,16	allegations 65:3	approved 40:1,18	79:6,12,22 80:2	average 34:3 aware 34:10 64:21
7:20,22 8:15 9:4	O		80:4,11,23 81:6	
10:13 11:13	65:19	71:20 83:21	81:9,14 82:11	65:2,5
54:3 55:11	allow 80:23 81:9	approving 49:5	83:14 84:1	B
56:10 64:5,17	amended 7:2 90:5	approximately 5:3 19:10 21:9	86:19	bachelor 17:11
66:10 68:9,14	analysis 33:13		Aqua's 15:10	back 12:16 41:6
69:11 71:7 72:2	37:17	23:4 25:1,5 26:4	34:20,23 42:6	41:24 42:8 48:6
72:9 73:8 76:24	Ann 2:15 6:16	26:6,22 27:4,19	44:6,16 47:12	53:24 55:10
81:20 83:8,10	annually 33:10	27:20 29:1	53:1 55:17 56:5	56:5 66:8 68:4
86:1,24	answer 12:23	58:24 70:15	56:24 57:14	70:22 77:21
address 6:22 8:5	13:18 88:6	73:2	58:22 59:2,9	79:8 82:6
37:20 78:4	89:20,21	April 39:14 40:1	61:16 62:6 63:9	background 5:20
addressed 78:23	apologies 14:11	50:9 51:24	64:16 66:5,10,21	vachgi vana 3.20
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				1496 73
11:11,15 14:2	bring 5:11 35:11	certified 1:15	21:18	comprised 45:10
17:10 52:7	brought 79:13	33:14,15,18	collect 5:10 33:6	concentration
based 9:7 33:4	Brown 3:3 5:19	91:15	51:3 69:20	85:3
basically 90:1	35:12 63:16,20	change 34:16,24	collected 32:7	concentrations
basis 8:8,11 10:10	89:10	39:1,12,24 45:3	33:2,12	44:7 53:7 66:18
11:16 15:14	bullet 42:9,24	45:10,12,13	collecting 71:19	76:10 88:17
88:16	43:10 77:21	46:10,10	collection 37:13	concerning 69:14
beginning 31:16	78:9	changed 38:18	column 37:11,12	71:12
51:23 69:20	bunch 82:17	39:3 48:11	37:13,15,16,18	concerns 15:4
behalf 2:11,21	burden 16:5,8	52:18 57:7	37:20 58:17	78:21
6:11 16:16	bureau 63:19,20	changes 63:23	59:2,6	concluded 90:23
belief 88:16	business 91:7	chart 37:21 58:16	come 16:20 41:24	conclusion 88:1,5
believe 7:12 11:11		charts 58:12	coming 5:21	concurrently
45:14 51:21	C	check 55:5	90:18	88:13
80:20 81:5,13	C 31:22 91:3	checklist 26:20	commencing 1:18	condition 5:16 7:8
84:4,9,12 85:9	C-o-o-k 17:8	chemical 46:10	comment 90:13	7:18,23 8:9 10:9
86:4 87:4,20	calculation 34:2,7	Chicago 1:16 2:8	comments 8:16	10:13 11:13
88:11 90:17	call 10:4,19 11:19	2:18 91:7	community 19:18	13:16 50:16
best 46:11	14:1 16:18	chief 9:24 10:2,17	22:6,7 23:16	62:4 64:4,5,17
better 44:18	64:15	11:5 12:18	26:18 27:3,10	66:10 68:9,14
billion 87:4	called 7:21 17:2	63:19	28:5 29:12	69:12 71:7 72:2
bit 17:10 34:19	calls 87:24 88:5	Chloe 3:4 5:20	30:15,20	72:10 73:8
68:20	capacity 18:15,21	chloride 44:14,15	comparing 69:11	81:20 83:8,11
biweekly 86:5	19:7,20 20:17	Christopher 6:13	complaint 12:22	conditions 5:16
blended 31:22	21:5 22:14	Circuit 12:24 15:7	13:19 65:19	7:11 9:4 44:21
34:17 39:3 45:9	25:22 26:1,5,8	64:23	89:18	62:22 86:12
45:10	26:23 27:14	circumstances	complete 7:8,10	88:20
block 83:2	43:8	52:18 57:6	8:1 22:7 44:13	conduct 15:13
Board 1:1 5:12	capitalized 39:20	City 91:7	completed 26:19	32:23 35:1
7:5 10:23 11:12	Carly 5:21	civility 90:19	39:5 48:24	76:15
12:22 13:6,19	case 9:24 10:2,17	clarification 35:20	compliance 15:13	conducted 23:16
14:2 16:11	10:24 11:5,23	67:24	20:9 21:20 30:8	77:2 86:19
36:15 67:2	12:18,23 15:6,9	clarify 23:6 54:11	38:12 51:18	conducting 51:13
Booster 85:16	28:19 64:22	55:24 64:20	52:9,20 53:2,12	76:2
bottom 49:2,4	65:3,7	78:7 84:7	53:19,21 56:12	confer 12:1
71:7	cause 1:13 44:21	class 31:22	57:1,9 58:3	conflate 13:8
BRAD 1:14	72:24	clear 19:14 62:5	59:15,21 60:8,18	consecutive 15:21
Bradley 5:2	CDC 87:12	close 14:1	61:9 67:7 68:10	53:14 74:1
break 12:7 31:14	Central 23:20	closely 68:20	68:15 69:15,21	75:18
brief 8:18 11:9	85:15	closes 90:15	70:1,10 71:12,20	consideration
12:7 14:11	certain 33:1,1	CMS 73:21	74:2,6,16 75:19	72:3,14,20 73:3
briefing 90:9	42:12	Code 29:9 34:8	75:22 76:2	73:5,10,16,21
briefly 11:21 57:4	Certainly 88:9	56:4 66:1 74:19	86:11 88:18	considered 11:12
briefs 5:11 10:18	certifications	coinciding 42:11	comply 27:11	38:9
90:11	17:19,23	coliform 19:23	29:15	consisted 5:15
				_
	I	ı	ı	I

	1			
consistent 70:14	55:3,6,7,12	corrosion 29:10	dan.deeb@afsla	demeanor 90:20
construction	68:24 76:18	29:21 30:4,5,7	2:10	demonstrated
18:13,13,21,24	78:15,24 79:14	30:10,18 31:2,9	DANIEL 2:4	16:9 80:22 81:7
19:3,6,10,15	79:23 80:7 81:8	31:20,23 32:8	data 9:14 16:4	81:15
20:6,7 21:15	Cook 4:3,5 15:23	34:16,24 38:13	66:14	denial 8:9 83:10
22:1,4,5,6,13	16:18,19 17:1,8	38:19 39:1,12,24	database 33:19	83:15
24:20 25:13,18	17:9 82:10	40:12 43:22	37:9	denials 24:23
25:20,23 26:2,10	87:14	44:3,17 45:3,24	date 10:13 15:17	25:21
26:19 39:11	Cook's 9:11	48:12,19 49:9	29:1 37:14	denied 11:4 36:16
45:22 46:2,20	copies 35:7,14	53:10,18 54:7	39:14 51:11	64:17 66:11
49:7 50:21	46:24	55:2,6,7,12	56:20 67:19,22	83:8
52:10 62:19	copper 29:3,6,10	68:24 76:18	68:1 74:5 77:11	deny 10:21
63:1 68:23 72:8	29:11,15 30:4,14	78:15,24 79:14	79:3,4	depending 10:2
79:13	59:7 86:19	79:23 80:7 81:8	dated 35:18 38:5	depose 8:2
consultant 42:6	88:19	couched 7:7	41:20 48:7	deposition 9:11
43:17 47:13	copy 6:21 13:1,3	counsel 35:7	62:13 63:14	9:12
76:9 79:11	35:6,9,18	63:22	David 4:3 15:23	depositions 9:21
consultant's 66:21	Cornwell 43:11	counties 23:20	16:18 17:1,8	13:15
consultants 15:23	43:14,16,19 44:2	89:19	43:11 79:11	describe 37:10
30:21 41:6 44:2	79:11	County 12:24	day 1:17 50:8	described 35:1
45:2 76:14	correct 17:13 18:3	15:7 64:24 91:3	86:20 90:21	description 39:23
consultation 84:2	18:17 20:19	couple 7:9 52:14	days 52:5 74:17	detection 37:16
consulting 43:8	23:8 32:13,21	54:12 83:19	75:8	determination 5:9
contains 91:11	37:23 38:2,6,7	course 67:15 71:4	deadline 11:2	20:8 30:24 78:3
contaminant	39:16 40:12	72:7	December 38:22	determinations
33:24	42:23 43:22	court 12:24 15:7	40:10,22 49:15	19:2 20:24
contested 5:15	45:16 48:8,12,13	35:12 64:23	52:20 57:8	22:10 24:21
36:17	61:6,7 66:1,2,6	91:6	decide 5:13	27:13
continue 82:7	66:7 77:20	covers 23:19	decided 11:18	determine 30:17
86:6	79:24 80:1,7,8	created 27:22	decision 10:11,22	41:5 43:21
continued 85:21	84:3 86:10,21,22	37:7	11:2,17 34:20	determining
continues 13:8	87:1,2,4,5,7,8	cross 82:7	73:4,20 81:19	38:13
55:3 78:1,1,10	90:2,6 91:10	CROSS-EXAM	decisions 83:24	difference 21:24
continuing 61:2,4	correctly 29:16,22	82:8	Deeb 2:4 6:1,4,4	32:15
contributing 44:7	39:15 41:21	CSMR 73:21	6:21,23 8:19	different 20:5
control 1:1 29:10	45:8 49:11	CSR 1:14,22	10:6,8,20 11:10	54:4 86:24
29:21 30:4,5,7	51:24 64:18	currently 24:19	13:4,7 14:7	direct 17:4 19:24
30:10,18 31:3,9	74:20	28:17	16:23 36:12,13	directly 13:13
31:21,23 32:9	correlation 44:9	customer 86:12	82:6,9,11 87:19	disapprove 19:3
34:16,24 38:13	48:4 76:10	86:14	88:9,10,21 89:7	21:1
38:19 39:2,12,24	86:15		89:8,24 90:3,6	discovery 7:24
40:13,18 43:22	corresponding	D	Deeb's 36:9	discussed 86:20
44:3,17 45:3,24	48:17	D 4:1 58:11	Defendant 1:9	discussing 50:21
48:12,19 49:9	corresponds 56:2	D-e-e-b 6:4	definition 30:3,6	57:6 62:7 63:2
53:11,18 54:7	57:9 67:16 74:9	Dan 6:4 82:10	degrees 17:17	discussion 12:2
	1		1	

			I	
89:1	90:13	20:18 21:6	exam 14:10	extra 35:8,14
discussions 83:18	duly 16:22 17:2	22:15	EXAMINATION	extremely 42:14
dismiss 11:13,17	91:5	engineering 17:12	4:2 17:4	
dispute 14:15	duplicate 68:15	engineers 23:15	examined 17:3	F
division 18:5,6,9	duplicative 68:10	24:18 25:6,7	example 15:20	F 69:13 70:1,3
22:22 23:7 24:8	69:16	ensure 53:5 60:2	54:15	71:12 72:18
24:15 25:3,11,16	duties 23:10 24:13	60:12,22 81:16	exceed 32:7	73:14
26:14 27:1,7,15		entered 9:1 65:6	exceedance 34:15	facility 37:12
27:22 63:20,22	E	entering 36:4	34:22 38:17,21	fact 9:18 10:10
docket 46:23	E 4:1	entire 36:8	40:5,9 41:2	74:11
docketed 5:7	earlier 7:19 33:20	entitled 5:5	49:14 53:13,21	factor 16:2
docs 90:4	42:16 43:20	entry 85:5	75:3	facts 13:17
document 13:11	54:2 57:10	environmental	exceedances 15:3	fall 59:3
37:4,7 39:9	86:20	1:7 5:6 6:12	exceeded 32:6	familiar 11:14
41:14 48:7	ease 20:13	14:18 16:10,17	34:10	21:21 28:2 29:2
61:19,22 79:11	education 17:11	18:2,11,22 19:21	exception 20:3,13	32:1 37:4 46:8
79:16	Edwards 42:7	20:18 21:6	20:17,22 21:1,4	58:21 61:22
documents 7:1,21	43:2,18 44:2	22:14 23:15	21:9,15 22:2,9	far 31:13
7:22 8:4,6,11,14	effect 55:23 57:20	24:4,16 30:22	24:20 27:9,12,16	farm 42:11
8:15,24 9:3,23	80:5	63:18	27:21 38:4,10	farther 6:3 11:3
10:4,10 36:16	effectiveness	EPA 33:16 40:1	51:9 56:21 62:2	fault 71:4
46:18 49:23	86:16	42:17,21 43:4,7	62:12 63:5,13,24	February 54:5
68:21	effects 76:4 77:1,1	43:15,17 45:22	65:15 66:8 68:2	55:13
doing 73:21 91:6	77:2	46:19 48:18	75:7,14 79:5	fed 31:22
Dr 43:1,11,14,16	eighth 37:18	49:20 50:3,5,12	81:21	feel 14:5
43:18 44:2,2	either 8:17 69:8	51:8 52:2 55:13	excluded 9:15	felt 9:14 46:11
79:11	86:4	59:20 60:8	excuse 7:14 25:24	47:11
draft 24:22 25:23	elevated 15:8	61:15 67:5,18	30:1 40:20 54:6	field 22:23 23:2,5
26:2,11 27:23	42:10	74:16 75:1,6,13	54:13 55:4	23:11 24:1 25:8
46:6 62:17 63:8	elicited 9:13	75:21 76:14	60:15 62:15	fifth 60:6
drafted 20:2 21:5	eliminate 53:1	78:21 79:5	70:8,23 74:13	figure 80:15
21:16 26:23	55:17 56:11,24	80:21 81:6,14	79:17 81:7	file 9:16 90:10,11
27:16 62:19	57:15 58:3	83:22	exhibit 13:6 36:7	filed 5:24 6:19 7:2
drafting 27:4	59:15,20 60:8,18	equipment 46:10	54:13 89:17,23	11:1 15:6 23:22
drafts 18:24 20:21	61:9 67:7	especially 6:1	exhibits 36:4	35:24 36:6
22:18 25:20	eliminated 16:12	Essence 3:3 5:19	existed 44:10	46:23 90:13
drinking 14:16,23	51:19 52:10	establish 89:17	expedited 90:17	filing 7:11 15:8
19:22 20:9	67:3 75:21	established 90:8	expert 43:20	filled 27:2
22:12 24:21	elimination 15:16	event 5:23 8:16	expiring 75:11	final 5:9 54:6,6,18
27:11 28:12	ends 61:19	11:4	explain 11:7	55:2,6,12
29:7 32:2 87:22	enforcement	evidence 11:20	explained 11:6	finally 61:1
88:13	12:23 13:9,23,24	35:21 36:2,8,20	explaining 83:14	find 6:1 65:10
Drive 2:6	15:6	89:22	extension 11:2	72:17
dual 24:5 31:23	engineer 17:21	exact 28:24	extent 47:2 87:24	fine 12:11 25:5
due 48:3 88:20	18:11,22 19:21	exactly 10:16	88:5	36:20 87:18
L	-	-	•	•

finish 60:5	general 2:13	31:7,18	help 25:9 86:12	24:4,16 28:2,6
first 13:11 17:2	21:16 24:13	31.7,10	hesitant 13:23	28:12,13 29:8,9
37:12 42:9 48:6	29:24 30:2,9,13	H	high 15:1 42:14	29:14 30:22
52:14,15,16,16	30:17 33:1,5	H-a-n-o-h-a-n-o	44:18 47:17	33:16 34:8 40:1
52:17 54:17	56:23 63:17	6:17	55:23 57:19	42:16,21 43:4,7
59:2 64:14	General's 6:10,14	Halloran 1:14 5:1	58:9 60:4,14,24	43:14,16 45:22
65:22 68:8	generally 23:10	5:2 6:18 8:13,20	66:16 73:2	46:19 47:5
74:14 83:4 90:5	29:5 37:10 54:4	9:6,9 10:6,20	77:17 78:17	48:18 49:20
91:5	GIS 25:7	11:22 12:4,9,11	79:18 80:2	50:3,5,5,12 51:8
five 5:12	give 11:5 54:15	12:15,21 13:2,5		52:2 54:5 55:13
flip 41:23 42:1	given 7:17 13:12	13:10,22 14:8,13	84:13,18 85:2,7	56:3 59:20 60:8
-	O	16:14,19,24	85:8,9,12,20	
56:13 65:17	72:4,14,20 73:3	35:10,16,22 36:3	86:13 87:1	61:15 63:18
following 15:8	73:10,17 86:20	36:12,14,19	higher 44:22	64:22,23 66:1
18:1 31:8 44:24	91:11	81:24 82:5	76:10 80:19	67:5,18 74:16,18
follows 17:3 64:10	gives 26:21	87:14,17 88:2,6	86:2 88:19	75:1,6,13,21
foregoing 91:9	giving 41:17	89:5,9,15,21	highlight 47:10,16	76:14 78:21
form 45:12	go 6:2 20:14 36:17	90:4,7	47:24	79:5 80:21 81:6
forth 39:9 51:16	42:8 45:18	handing 35:18	highlighted 46:24	81:14 83:14,21
52:3,6 56:14	55:10 56:5	handles 33:13	47:8,15,17 79:17	84:1 91:1
57:13 58:17	65:10 69:4 79:8	handling 12:20	highlighting 47:1	Illinois's 28:8
59:1,6,10,14	79:16 82:2	Hanohano 2:15	47:22	impact 76:16
60:7,17 61:5	89:11	6:16,16 14:15	hold 17:17 24:3	78:22
66:12 68:8	goes 47:19		home 37:20 86:18	imperative 11:1
69:13	going 36:4 49:18	happen 11:6	homes 14:24	important 13:20
found 40:12 52:14	53:24 66:8 68:4	hard 6:21	29:12 35:2	47:11 48:3
foundation 49:19	gonna 10:21 11:5	hear 9:23 15:22	42:12 73:2,3	80:14
fourth 59:14,19	11:6,8 12:21	51:24	86:12,14	Importantly 7:6
65:23 78:9	36:24 41:24	heard 29:22 84:4	Honor 8:23 35:6	improvements
Fox 2:3 6:5,7	49:23 54:1	hearing 1:13 5:1,4	hour 1:18	19:18 22:5,5
frame 20:22 21:2	68:20 69:3 71:6	6:18,23 7:8,13	hundred 26:6	39:21
75:10	90:8	8:13,20 9:6,9		inappropriate
free 14:5	good 5:1 6:9	10:6,20 11:3,10	<u>I</u>	10:14
frequency 51:13	35:13 81:3	11:22 12:9,11,15	ID 37:13	include 9:18
86:8	82:10	13:2,5,6,7,10,22	identical 29:8	29:18
frequently 41:18	governed 15:10	14:8,13 16:14,19	identification	included 8:15
Friday 7:2,12	graduation 18:1	16:24 35:7,10,16		9:18 10:9 46:24
front 69:2,7	grant 6:13,13	35:20,22 36:3,10	identify 86:12	54:19 90:5
further 45:13 67:6	12:10,19,21	36:12,14,19	identifying 55:14	inclusion 7:16
76:15 81:23	13:10,11 53:1	81:24 82:5	IEPA 15:15 16:9	increase 40:23
88:21 89:3	55:17 56:24	87:14,17 88:2,6	Illinois 1:1,4,7,16	44:24
	57:14 89:20	89:5,9,15,17,21	1:17 2:8,18 5:5	increased 44:8,11
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$	granting 56:11	89:23 90:4,7	5:6 6:10,11,14	increases 42:12
G-r-a-n-t 6:14	great 90:20	91:9,12	14:19 16:17	increasing 16:2
Garel-Frantzen	groundwater	heavy 44:24	17:13,22 18:2	indicate 44:16
2:5 6:6,7	19:24 28:23	held 1:12	22:12 23:20	indicated 12:5

18:20 23:5	45:23 48:18	52:13 56:10,24	29:2,6,9,11,15	level 14:23 15:3
51:21 85:11	49:7 62:2 63:6	57:24 60:5	30:3,14 32:2,10	15:20 30:8 32:2
indicates 55:11	66:16 68:23	66:12 67:6	32:23 33:7	32:5,5,8,10
individual 36:7	79:5 82:16		34:11,22 35:3	33:24 34:11,22
37:5,14,20	85:18	K	37:5,14 38:12,17	38:17,20 40:4,8
influence 20:1	italicized 51:2	K 91:3	38:20 40:4,8,22	40:17 41:1
information 7:16		Kankakee 16:1	41:1,9 42:11	42:12 49:13
9:13 13:20 37:8	J	28:18,23 31:8,19	44:8,11 48:4	52:19 53:13,13
43:23 44:1 45:1	J 2:4	47:18	49:13 52:19	53:20,20 57:8
78:19 79:6	January 34:12,23	Katherine 6:9	53:7,8,12,13,20	74:1 75:2,20
80:20 81:5,13	38:18 40:6 41:3	8:22	53:20 55:23	80:24 81:10
initially 30:23	74:4 75:3	KATHRYN 2:14	57:8,20 58:8	85:8 86:24 87:3
injunctive 89:19	job 81:3	keep 76:2 87:15	59:7 60:13,23	87:9,11,12,21
inspection 23:17	joined 18:9	kicked 11:3	66:18 68:10,15	88:12
instituted 49:16	Judge 12:4	know 9:22 10:15	68:18 69:18,21	levels 14:16 15:1,8
instruct 63:4	judicial 13:1,12	11:12 14:1	69:24 70:10	16:2 29:11
intend 10:3,19	July 5:14 38:2,8	28:15 31:15	74:1 75:2,19	30:14 41:9
12:5	38:22 40:10	35:8 36:1,8 37:1	76:5,7,11,17	42:11,13 44:8,11
interest 28:8	41:20 42:20	39:7 41:12	77:2 78:5,23	44:18,21 48:5
interim 8:12	44:9 45:4,17,22	45:18 46:16	80:6,24 81:9	53:6,8 55:23
13:13 14:3	46:2,20 48:7,22	48:15 50:24	86:14,19 87:3,9	57:21 66:17,18
15:10,12 64:15	49:7,15 52:9,20	54:24 56:13	87:11,21,23	76:5,7,11 77:17
64:20 65:6,13	57:8 68:22 74:7	61:20 64:6	88:12,14,17,18	78:5,17,23 80:6
68:11,16 69:5,14	75:8 76:13,16	65:11 69:6,8,9	88:20	85:2 86:2,9,13
70:2 72:18	79:12 80:11	75:2 76:21 77:5	learn 33:16	86:24
73:13 76:3 83:6	June 15:18 16:3	85:13	leaves 10:17	License 1:23
interlocutory 14:6	22:21 34:13,23	knowledge 28:9	legal 63:22 88:1,5	licensed 1:15
introduce 6:3	38:5,10,18 40:6	44:9	Leone 5:21	17:21
introduction 80:9	41:3 56:19	knows 36:16	let's 17:10 45:18	licenses 17:20,23
involve 22:13 46:9	62:13 63:6,14	kpamenter@at	46:15 48:14	light 12:4
involved 8:3	64:21 65:2,5	2:20	49:19 52:13	limine 5:24 6:19
involvement	67:8 68:1 70:17		56:5 57:3,4 64:3	6:24 8:24 10:21
25:12,17 26:15	70:19 73:24	L 47:18 79:19	68:19 76:20	36:9,15 90:2
27:8 28:9	74:5 75:1,4,7,11	80:3,4,12,14	82:14 89:11	limit 6:24 37:16
involving 5:8	76:1 79:4 80:21	lab 33:14,15	letter 67:12,17,19	70:9,17
IPCB 3:3,4	81:6,14,20 82:16	laboratory 37:17	79:4	line 65:24 74:13
iron 31:24	85:18	labs 33:18	letters 15:15	74:14
issuance 15:17	justice 14:18	land 19:17	49:19 50:2,4,10	list 20:4,12,14
56:20 75:20	justification 15:19	language 51:7,16	50:15 51:11,15	44:13
issue 8:24 11:15	52:8,24 54:3	63:13,23	51:22,23 52:4,7	listed 37:21 38:8
15:24 16:4 38:4	55:11,16 57:14	large 11:16	53:24 55:8 56:6	65:19
41:19 42:15	58:3 59:14,19	late 5:22,23 6:20	61:16 62:1,3,5,7	lists 56:10
46:8 56:21	60:6,7,16,18	10:13 14:24	63:10 66:13	liter 14:22 15:2
61:15 64:4 75:6	61:5,8,13	lead 14:23 15:1,3	67:1 75:16	32:11,13,16,16
issued 11:17	justifications	15:8,13,20 16:2	78:20	32:17,18 85:13
		15.0,15,20 10.2		

				1490 70
little 17:10 34:19	79:3	missing 13:19	moved 22:22	76:16
68:19	Marie 2:15 6:16	mix 45:9	36:19	nitrates 41:8 60:4
LLP 2:3	mark 36:2	modify 8:9 45:23		84:14,18 85:8
located 14:18	marked 89:23	48:19 50:16	N	86:2
location 72:6	mass 44:15	62:3,21 64:17	N 4:1	note 5:18 38:1
locations 71:21	materials 7:3	66:10 83:7,10	name 5:2 6:4,6,16	46:22 47:2
long 18:15 23:1	matter 5:4,10	moment 12:1	17:6,7 82:10	90:16
52:2	10:5 12:19	57:12 88:23	narrative 87:10	notes 36:15 91:10
look 39:19 45:21	13:24,24 28:8	Monday 90:18	necessary 11:11	notice 12:22 13:1
46:12 48:6,14	maximum 33:24	monitor 57:19	11:18	13:1,12
49:19,22,23	MCL 33:24 85:12	58:8 60:3,13,23	need 9:23 29:14	notified 42:16,21
50:23 56:6	mean 10:24 36:5	monitoring 32:7	31:14,15 33:2,6	42:22
59:13 60:15	48:24 61:13	33:9,10 50:17	35:8,11,21 36:7	November 15:11
61:18 64:3 68:4	80:16 85:11	52:21 57:9 59:7	40:15 43:21	65:7 90:12,15
68:19,20 69:19	mechanical 17:12	59:11 64:16	56:12 57:12	number 5:15,17
71:6 77:21	media 19:17	68:10,16,18	59:22 60:3,13,23	32:8 33:2 37:19
looked 41:7	meet 63:12 82:11	69:17 70:14	69:8 76:1 84:13	56:13 57:4,11,13
lot 36:4	82:13 87:21	83:7 84:13,19	needed 31:2 36:1	57:23 58:2 59:7
low 87:1	88:12	85:4,9,14,19,21	40:16,16 66:5	64:5,9,17 66:11
10W 07.1	meeting 22:11	86:1,2,5,7,14,18	70:18	68:7,9,14 69:12
M	64:1	month 70:16	needing 66:4	69:20 70:4,15
making 31:19	meets 52:19 57:7	86:21	needs 57:19 58:8	71:7 72:3,9,10
42:14 45:13	members 5:12	monthly 15:14,16	negotiated 15:9	73:9 81:20
man 5:16	mentioned 29:21	16:12 50:17	neither 43:18	82:17,20 83:8
manager 21:18,20	31:16 33:20	51:14,18 52:8	new 45:10 78:15	89:23
22:23 23:1,6,11	84:12 85:7 86:3	53:1 55:17	newest 37:22	
23:19,22,24 24:6	87:3	56:11 57:1,15	nice 35:10 82:11	0
24:8,10,14 25:2	met 15:20 63:15	58:3 59:15,20	82:13	O 91:3,3
25:10,15,22 26:1	75:18	60:8,18 61:9	nitrate 7:22 8:11	oath 91:6
26:5,9,13,24	method 37:17,17	62:4 64:16 67:2	8:14 9:14 15:24	objecting 10:16
27:6,14 46:5	methodologies	67:7 68:18	16:4 36:16	objection 7:15
62:16 63:21	20:1	69:17 70:14,23	41:19 42:10,13	8:14 14:6 36:13
mandatory 72:21	mg 47:18 79:19	70:24 71:16	44:7,18,21 47:17	87:24 88:4
73:18	80:3,4,12,14	75:22 76:2 83:7	48:2,5 53:6,7	89:18,24
Marc 42:6 43:1	micrograms 14:22	86:7,11,18	55:23 57:20	objects 13:8
March 15:14 50:5	15:2 32:11,15,18	months 33:9	58:9 60:14,24	observed 14:16
50:8,15 51:12,12	middle 39:20 51:3	morning 5:1 6:9	66:14,17,17	42:10 47:18
51:19,23 52:3,4	Mike 63:16,16,20	12:20 36:10	73:20 76:4,6,10	48:2 79:19 80:2
52:6,7,11 53:9	63:21	82:10	77:1,1,17 78:4	obtain 17:15
53:17 55:8,8	milligrams 32:13	motion 5:24 6:19	78:17,22 79:18	obtained 45:2
56:5,6 61:16,16	32:16,17 85:12	6:24 7:21 8:8,24	80:2,5,12 85:2,3	obtains 33:15
62:1,3,6,7 63:9	minimize 29:11	9:17 10:21 11:4	85:4,20,22 86:5	OCCT 54:18
63:9 66:24 67:1	30:14	11:12,17 36:9,15	86:9,13,15,24	occur 85:10,14
67:8,12,16 75:15	minimum 70:4	90:1	90:4	occurred 9:21
75:15 78:20,20	minute 89:12	move 35:21 36:2,7	nitrate's 44:23	16:11
ĺ		,		
	l		l	I

				1496 99
October 77:13,14	26:18,23 27:5	39:20 47:15	80:24 81:10,17	24:20 25:2,9,10
78:2,13 90:11,14	48:17,22 49:5	48:6 49:4 52:15	84:22,23 85:15	25:13,15,18,22
90:18	66:15	54:17 58:10	part 11:16 42:3,5	25:23 26:1,5,8
office 2:13 6:10,15	operations 22:23	61:2,3,3,4 62:9	77:8	26:10,13,15,24
22:24 23:2,13	23:2,6,12,22	62:10 64:6	part-time 25:8	27:6,9,10,15
24:2	24:1 25:8	65:14,18 68:5,8	particular 18:5	28:1 38:4,5,10
officer 1:13 5:1,4	operator 14:19	68:22 69:8 71:8	28:7 30:19 31:9	39:11 45:22
6:18,23 7:9,13	opportunity 8:2	73:9 77:22 78:9	31:20 44:17,20	46:3,5,6,7,9,20
8:13,20 9:6,9	opportunity 8.2 opposed 46:6	82:19	47:24 49:21	48:17,23 49:5,7
10:6,20 11:10,22	62:17		51:13,16 54:15	49:21 50:16,18
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		pages 51:3,5	56:1 65:24	,
12:9,11,15 13:2	opposing 35:7	52:22 54:1,4		50:20,21 51:9,9
13:5,6,7,10,22	optimal 30:5,6,7	55:5 56:7,9,13	72:21 74:8 85:1	52:10 56:21
14:8,13 16:14,19	40:18 54:6 55:2	57:13,22 58:14	parties 15:9 65:19	62:2,12,16,18,20
16:24 35:8,10,16	55:12,14	59:13 60:15,17	90:10	62:24 63:1,5,8
35:20,22 36:3,12	order 7:13 8:12	61:1,5 69:4,7	parts 87:4	63:14,24 64:4
36:14,19 81:24	9:1,20 13:14	Pamenter 2:14	PCB 1:6 5:7	65:15 66:9,15
82:5 87:14,17	14:3 15:10,13	4:4 6:9,9 8:21	pending 12:24	68:2,9,15,23
88:2,6 89:5,9,15	34:4 53:5 58:7	8:22,22 9:8,10	64:23	69:12 70:11
89:17,21 90:4,7	60:2,12,22 64:16	11:24 12:1,4,16	people 25:1 64:22	72:9 75:7,14,21
officer's 36:11	64:20 65:6,13	12:17 14:8,10,14	percentile 32:6	79:5,13 81:21
Oh 14:13 85:24	68:11,16 69:5,14	16:15,16 17:5	33:21,23 34:2,5	82:16 83:9,15
Okay 12:9 13:5	70:2,12 72:18	35:5,14,17,24	period 19:8,12,17	85:18
18:20 34:6	73:14 76:3 83:6	36:5,17,18,22,23	21:11 24:3 32:7	permits 18:24
36:14 37:3 39:8	originated 14:15	81:23 82:1	34:12,23 38:17	19:6,19 20:3,13
41:13 42:2,24	ortho 39:4	87:24 88:3,4,23	38:21 40:5,9,20	20:22 21:4,15,16
43:10 45:17,20	orthophosphate	89:3,6	41:2 49:14	22:9 25:20,21
46:17 47:8	34:18 39:5 45:7	paragraph 51:2,4	52:21 53:9,12,14	26:2,6,18,23
48:16 49:13,18	45:11,12,14,24	51:7 52:15,16,16	53:19,22 57:9	27:5,13,17,21
50:1 51:1,15	48:11,20 49:9,16	52:17 54:18	74:4,18 75:3,12	permitted 7:20
54:16,22 55:1,10	50:17,19 54:8	64:10,15 65:18	80:19	permitting 23:21
56:8 57:3 58:16	55:14 62:20,24	65:20 74:12,13	periods 15:21	petition 5:14
59:13 61:1,21	66:15 68:24	74:15 83:1	33:5 44:24	petitioner 1:5
64:3,8 65:12	76:18 77:15,22	parameters 40:19	55:22 57:19	2:11 5:5 6:8,20
68:19 69:10	78:3,10,14,24	41:7 44:10,13	58:8 60:3,14,24	7:15 9:5,13 16:6
74:11 76:22	79:14,23 80:6,10	Park 14:17,17,21	74:2 75:19	35:10 82:11
77:7 79:9 82:18	80:23 81:8,15	15:1,5,11 28:13	84:13 85:19	petitioners 5:24
82:21 83:1,24	83:20,21 86:17	28:16,21 29:19	88:19	6:2,5 14:5
85:7 90:7	outline 11:8	31:3,10 34:11	permit 5:8 8:10	Ph.D 42:7
oldest 37:22	Overruled 88:7	35:2 37:6 38:23	10:11 13:9,16	phosphate 31:22
open 74:12	oversee 25:2	40:13 41:10	15:12,18 18:12	34:17 39:4 45:9
opening 11:8	owner 14:19	45:4 48:3 49:10	18:14,21 19:3,10	45:10
14:11 90:10	owns 28:5	58:22 59:2,9	19:15 20:6,17	phosphoric 39:4
operates 28:5		66:5,19 69:18	21:1,10,18,22	39:12 45:6,12
operating 21:21	P	76:5,8,17 77:16	22:1,2,3,4,8,16	84:5,7
22:2,8,16 26:15	page 38:9 39:17	78:5,16 80:10,17	24:6,7,11,14,20	phrase 32:12 56:1
, ,				•
	I	I	I	I

56:2	20:21 22:18	proposed 39:21	queried 37:8	R000469 77:19
place 9:11	24:19 46:2	protection 1:8 5:6	question 13:20	78:9
places 52:14 54:12	48:22 62:17	6:12 16:10,17	31:12 53:15	R000471 54:23
plans 19:24 21:12	63:5,8	18:2,11,22 19:21	71:5 88:8	R000522 37:1
21:19	prepared 12:17	20:18 21:6	questioning 9:21	R000602 65:10
plant 84:21	19:7 37:22	22:15 23:15	questions 9:12	69:2
played 41:8,9	62:12 63:15	24:4,16 30:23	10:1 81:23	R000603 65:18
pleadings 9:5	79:12	63:18	82:15 83:19	R000609 69:4,15
please 6:22 17:6	prepares 25:20	provide 10:12	88:21 89:4,10	71:11,23 72:18
31:15 37:1,1	26:20 27:12	28:12 83:13	quickly 41:23	73:14 74:12
39:6,7 41:11,12	present 3:1 5:24	87:22 88:13	42:1	R1 52:15 53:24
42:9 45:18	11:20 12:6	provided 8:1	quote 52:18	R10 56:7 57:13,22
46:15 48:14	14:12	15:18 16:3		R11 59:13
49:22,24 50:23	presentation	provides 14:20	R	R12 60:6,16 61:3
54:23 56:6,7	41:17,19 42:3	16:5 28:16 54:5	R 41:24 82:17	R13 61:4
57:11 59:22	76:24 77:9	provision 22:11	R000001 49:23	R18 35:19
61:18,20 62:9	presentations	provisions 71:2	R000003 50:23	R296 39:10,19
65:10 67:14	41:18 42:19	public 12:22,24	R000008 49:24	R297 39:17
69:4,7,8 72:6	76:13 77:11	15:11 18:6,10	R000009 50:23	R3 51:17 52:15
76:20,20 77:5,19	presented 7:18	19:15 22:22	R000014 61:19	R363 42:1,4
78:7 79:8 81:2	9:24 10:2,18	23:7 24:8,15	62:13 66:9	R4 56:7,7 57:13
83:5 87:15	16:7 48:4 76:14	25:3,11,12,16,17	R000016 61:20	57:22
plumbing 30:15	pretty 13:19 14:1	26:9,14,15,22	62:9 64:6 65:14	R443 77:12
point 8:7 10:3	previous 24:7	27:1,7,8,15,22	66:9 68:5	R452 77:12
42:9 84:21 85:1	previously 7:4	29:14,19 30:9,19	R000296 39:6	R488 55:4
85:5	31:6,17 38:16	31:3,10,21 32:22	R000351 41:11	R494 55:4
pointed 15:24	42:20 53:10,17	33:6 34:12	R000352 42:8	R495 55:4
points 85:5	63:2 76:12	38:23 40:13	43:1	R5 58:10 59:13
politeness 90:19	79:10	43:21,24 45:4	R000383 45:18,21	60:6
POLLUTION 1:1	primarily 34:18	49:10 58:22	R000384 68:21	R522 38:1,9
polyphosphate	39:4 45:11	59:3,9 63:21	69:13,19 70:22	R6 60:16 61:2
34:19	prior 7:6 28:20,20	66:5 77:16 78:5	71:6 72:2,8,21	R621 35:19
pool 73:1	56:17,19 66:3,11	78:16,16 80:10	73:8	R7 61:3
population 33:4	procedural 9:7	80:24 81:10,17	R000385 45:21	R8 52:16 54:1,17
population's 59:4	proceed 9:9 11:20	87:20 88:11	46:12	60:15
possible 76:16	12:8,18 16:23	90:13	R000402 46:15	R9 51:17 52:17
88:15	82:7 90:8	purpose 7:22	48:7 79:8	Raelene 1:14,22
possibly 11:3	proceedings 1:12	31:23	R000404 46:22	91:5
posthearing 5:11	90:22 91:8,11	purposes 20:11	47:5	rainfall 44:24
8:17 10:18 11:9	process 27:4	38:9 62:23	R000405 47:14	raise 15:4
11:21 90:9	professional 17:21	pursuant 74:18	79:16	raised 78:21
postulated 47:5	professor 43:8		R000436 48:14	Randolph 1:16
preliminary 12:19	proffered 43:19	Q	49:4	range 48:2 59:1
89:16	promise 78:2,10	quality 40:18 41:7	R000443 77:5	80:16,18
prepare 8:5 18:24	proof 16:6	44:10,12 88:20	R000452 76:20	rank 34:4
FF	F			
			I	l

				Page 101
4* 4.4.1.5	00 6 17 00 0 11	50.00.65.04	l	45 1 62 24
ratio 44:15	82:6,17 89:2,11	58:22 65:24	requirement	45:1 63:24
read 42:9 64:18	89:22 90:5,14	66:3	15:16 16:12	results 33:16,17
74:20 83:4	recovered 42:13	relating 9:3 42:20	29:7 51:18 52:9	37:6,15,21 38:2
reading 8:12	redacted 37:19	62:24	53:2 55:18	38:8,12 40:23
39:15 41:21	reduced 33:9	release 76:17	56:12 57:1,15	74:6,16 80:12
reads 52:18 57:6	refer 20:12 33:19	releases 76:7	58:4 59:16,21	88:20
64:15 74:15	reference 8:16	reliance 8:1	60:9,19 61:10	retained 15:23
ready 26:20	43:1,11 52:22	relief 89:19	67:3,8 68:11,16	retirement 24:7
really 6:20 10:22	54:12,14,20	rely 44:1	75:22	review 19:11,19
27:3	57:23 58:11,17	remember 44:14	requirements	20:16 21:10
reason 83:9,14	64:21 65:14	remove 85:4	19:23 20:10	22:16 24:19,24
reasons 83:14	68:12 69:24	repeat 25:14	22:11 24:22	25:21 47:9
rebuttal 8:5 10:7	70:3,5,23,24	28:10 31:12	27:12 68:18	56:17,19 57:12
89:7	71:16,19,23	53:15 67:14	69:14 71:12	59:23 62:21
recall 9:1 21:20	79:20 80:3 84:4	69:8 71:3 72:6	requires 64:16	reviewed 18:20
28:24 42:22	referenced 13:16	81:2 88:8	83:6	19:23 20:16
84:8	54:2 66:21	report 1:12 74:6	resampling 73:3	44:12
receive 43:23	83:15	74:15	researchers 77:3	reviewing 18:12
80:21	references 57:24	reported 1:22	researching 44:8	44:3 49:1
received 50:7,8	59:17 67:11	91:8	reserve 10:1	reviews 25:19
51:22 67:19,21	referred 14:2 55:8	reporter 1:15	residents 28:16	26:17 27:10
81:6,14	referring 34:7	35:12 91:6,15	respect 8:23 9:14	right 11:22 12:15
recess 12:13 82:3	42:4,16 62:6	reports 23:17	19:15 28:7	32:20 45:15
89:13	70:6 74:23 77:9	represented 43:7	65:22 66:20	49:4 64:11
recognizing 43:18	reflect 35:17	represents 37:11	68:17	65:20 67:13,18
recommendation	reflective 47:12	request 7:19 8:9	respectively 51:12	68:2 69:11 82:5
54:7,18 55:3,7	regard 29:18 71:6	15:19 45:23	respond 52:2	83:1 89:11,15
73:7	regarding 8:3	48:19 50:14	75:14	river 16:1 28:23
recommendations	15:7 16:4 45:23	52:3,8 53:1	responded 7:9	31:8,19 42:10,13
44:4	50:16 61:13	55:17 56:11,24	respondent 2:21	84:19
record 5:2,18 7:1	63:12 76:16	57:14 59:15	5:7 6:11,15,17	role 18:10 24:6
7:3,6,10,17,18	78:3,22 81:19	62:21 64:16	7:7,14,19 8:23	41:9
7:20 8:1,15 9:15	regardless 27:23	66:10 67:6	9:2 12:16 16:17	roles 24:3
9:19 10:8,12	regards 68:7	75:14 83:7,10	89:3	room 12:7 47:2
11:7 12:3,12	region 23:19	requested 7:10	respondent's 7:12	Roubitchek 63:16
13:7,14,15 14:3	regional 22:24	16:13 73:2	8:12 12:18	63:22
16:6 17:6 32:17	23:2,12 24:2	75:23 90:16	respondents 6:2	rounds 40:16,17
34:6 35:6,17,18	regulation 33:4	requests 27:11	responding 8:23	routinely 13:12
35:23,24 36:6,8	56:2 70:4,6	67:13,17	response 61:15	rule 20:2 29:3,6
36:20 46:23	71:24 74:8,22	require 69:17	62:1,3 63:9	29:10,15 30:4
47:1,3 49:3	87:13	85:19,23 86:1	responses 90:12	86:19 88:19
50:20 52:15	regulations 16:11	required 9:2,16	responsibilities	rules 9:7
54:11,22 62:23	67:2	15:13 32:22	23:11 24:14	ruling 36:11
65:10 67:11	related 64:3 78:4	33:18 74:15	rest 11:8,23	run 75:8
68:22 77:4 82:2	relates 13:13	85:21 86:4,23	result 9:20 34:15	runoff 42:11
		<i></i>		
	I	I	ı	I

S	Scientist 3:3	73:9,11,13,16,17	39:17 46:13	24:20 27:8,12,16
safe 15:5 19:22	scientists 5:19	74:14 78:1	49:3 62:10 83:2	27:21 38:4,10
	SDWIS 33:19	79:17 80:4 83:4	signed 21:17,19	51:8 56:20 62:2
20:9 22:11 24:21 27:11	37:8	sentences 46:24	23:18 49:1,1	62:12 63:5,13,24
	second 13:13	September 1:17	significant 14:16	65:14 66:8 68:2
29:6 53:6 55:22	37:13 41:24	5:3 9:2,10,16,20	16:2	75:6,14 79:5
56:1 57:19 58:8	59:6 64:15	35:19 36:1	simple 10:11	81:21
60:3,13,23 66:6	67:12,17 68:22	sequestration	simply 10:13	specific 15:12
81:17 87:7,9,11	72:3,13 79:4	31:24	11:20	87:11
87:12,13,22 88:14	section 16:5 18:12	serious 15:4	simultaneous	specifically 44:14
Salk 3:4 5:20	21:18 22:23	serve 18:15 23:1	90:10,12	69:3
	23:3,6,12,22	served 29:13	simultaneously	specified 33:3
sample 19:24 21:12,19 37:6,13	24:1,6,7,11,15	30:15	69:1 71:11	spell 17:7
37:14 59:12	25:3,8,9,10,15	service 14:20 20:2	single 85:5	spoken 57:4
71:20	26:13,24 27:6,10	serving 24:10	sir 8:19 14:11	spring 40:23
	27:15 28:1 46:5	set 14:22 39:9	89:8	42:17 47:16
samples 32:7 33:2	46:7,9 56:4	51:16 52:3,6	site 19:24 21:12	79:18,22
33:3,7,12,14 37:5 69:21 70:1	62:15,16,18	56:14 57:13	21:19 37:18	Springfield 22:24
70:4,10,15 71:20	69:13 70:1,3	59:1,10,14 60:6	71:20	23:2,12,19 24:1
sampling 15:14,16	71:12 72:18	60:16 61:5	sites 59:8,10,12	SS 91:2
15:21 16:3,12	73:14	66:12 68:7	situated 12:8	staff 3:4 5:20
32:23 35:2	see 39:21 43:2,10	69:12	six 33:9 64:9 68:7	23:14 24:18
38:12,21 40:5,9	43:12 47:6,20	sets 58:17 59:6	six-month 15:21	25:19 26:17
40:23 41:2	51:4 52:22 54:9	seven 24:18 25:6	38:21 40:5,9	Stamm 1:14,22
49:14 51:13,18	54:13,20 55:23	56:10	41:2 49:14	91:5
52:9 53:2,12,14	56:15 57:20,23	seventh 61:4	53:11,14,19,21	stand 81:19
53:19,22 55:18	57:24 58:12,19	short 12:13 51:17	71:1 74:1,4,18	standard 33:8
56:12 57:1,15	59:17 64:9,12	82:3 89:13	75:18	59:11 87:10
58:4 59:10,16,21	68:12 69:22	shorthand 1:15	sixth 37:16 60:16	standing 5:16
60:9,19 61:9	71:9,14 72:5,11	91:8,10,15	size 58:18,21	start 17:11 47:16
62:4 67:3,7	72:15 73:11	shortly 15:23	slightly 54:3	50:3 53:24
68:18 69:15	77:23 78:11	show 16:7 40:16	Sofat 63:16,19	82:14,15
70:24 71:1,13,17	79:20 85:2	48:2 55:21	soon 5:21	started 45:9
73:1,6,22 74:2,6	seeking 15:15	57:18 58:7 78:2	sorry 12:10 13:1	starting 56:9 61:2
74:16,18 75:19	50:3 51:8,17	78:10,20	14:13 70:5	61:3 84:9
75:22 76:2	seeks 6:24 29:10	showed 15:1	87:16 88:2	starts 42:4 47:5
85:19 86:11,23	seen 41:14	showing 16:8 47:1	sort 13:11	51:3 61:19
sanitary 23:16,18	selected 53:10,18	shown 66:24	source 28:15,22	62:13 65:23
Sanjay 63:15,19	sense 62:21 71:5	77:14 78:14	31:7,18 44:23	66:9 69:15 72:3
satisfy 7:13 16:8	sent 33:14 50:2,5	shows 37:16 86:14	South 2:6	72:13 73:10
savs 54:18 69:20	sentence 47:3,4,6	86:16	speak 88:3	77:22 78:9
87:12,13 91:6	47:10,15 48:1	sign 19:6 21:4,14	speaks 68:8	79:17
schedule 90:9	52:17 57:6	24:24 25:21	special 20:2,13,16	state 1:15 8:21
Schiff 2:3 6:5,7	64:14 68:8 72:3	26:9 27:4,21	20:21 21:1,4,9	14:5 17:6,22
science 17:12	72:5,13,15,17,21	signature 26:21	21:15 22:1,9	28:6 29:8,15
50101100 17.12				
	•	•	•	•

64:22 83:9 91:1	43:24	systems 30:11,15	11:10,22 12:17	transcript 5:11
stated 8:8,10,11	supplies 18:6,10	43:23 61:14	14:7,14 16:13,14	90:17,17 91:10
49:11	22:22 23:17		36:21,22 67:24	treat 50:12
statement 14:12	24:9,16 25:4,11	T	81:24 82:22	treated 28:17
Station 85:16	25:16 26:14	table 58:11	84:11 85:17	treatment 20:2
stayed 22:21	27:1,3,7,16,23	take 10:23 12:22	88:22 89:5,9	29:11,22 30:4,5
steps 32:9	28:6 29:12	13:5 48:14	90:19	30:10,18 31:3,10
Street 1:16 2:16	63:21	49:19,22 57:12	thanks 6:18,18	31:21 34:16
strike 25:24 30:1	supply 15:11 16:1	59:22 61:18	87:15	35:1 38:14,19
40:20 45:17	19:15,18 22:6,8	68:19 73:5,20	theorized 41:7,8	39:2,12 40:1,13
70:8	23:7 25:12,17	77:21 82:19,22	76:9	43:22 44:3,17
students 42:7	26:9,15,19,22	taken 1:14 12:14	theory 44:6 53:6	45:3,24 48:12,19
studies 44:16	27:8,11 30:16,20	82:4 89:14	66:16,20,22	49:9 53:11,18
76:15	31:4,11 38:23	91:10	think 10:24 11:1,6	54:7 55:3,7,13
stuff 89:16	40:14 49:10	talk 52:13	11:14 13:8,19	55:15 69:1
submissions 7:7	77:16 78:6	talked 87:6	14:1 32:12	76:18 78:15
submit 9:3,16	80:11	talking 72:9	35:13 82:6	79:1,15,24 80:7
11:9 36:5 67:5	support 9:19	tech 25:7 42:6	third 37:14 73:9	81:9 83:18 84:5
78:19 79:6	24:21 27:13	43:9 77:2	73:17 74:12,14	84:21 85:4
80:11	46:19 67:6	technologies	thousand 21:13	86:17
submitted 7:1,4,4	79:12	83:19	three 23:14 33:8	trend 42:14
7:11 10:8 15:15	sure 10:16,17	tell 33:21	33:10 35:11	triannually 33:10
16:9 26:18	14:13 28:11	telling 47:13	57:23 58:2	triggers 32:8
36:10 46:19	31:13 37:12	ten 26:7 74:17	time 8:4 9:22 10:3	true 84:16 91:9
49:20 54:6	53:16 78:8	75:8	19:4,8,12,17	turn 37:1 39:6
55:12 66:14	surveys 23:16,18	term 21:21 29:2	20:14,22 21:2,11	41:11 46:15,22
67:13,18	switch 31:8,20	29:21 30:3 32:1	24:3 33:5 40:20	47:14 54:23
subsequent 34:24	68:23	32:4 33:20	48:10 53:9	57:5 62:9 64:6
substantially	switched 28:22	terrific 90:20	59:22 68:21	76:20 77:5,19
50:10	31:7,18 34:17	test 33:7 35:2	75:10,12,13,20	turning 57:11
sufficient 15:19	45:6	66:16	80:4,19 82:22	70:22
52:24 55:16	switches 83:18,20	tested 53:8 80:17	88:17	two 6:24 7:20
56:23 57:14	sworn 16:22 17:3	testified 17:3	times 15:3 83:17	15:15,21 25:7
58:2 59:19 60:7	91:5	21:14 23:24	Title 22:12 29:9	28:21 40:16,17
60:17 61:8	system 20:8 22:10	31:6,13,17 38:16	56:3	49:23 50:2,4
66:13 85:6	28:18 29:19	43:20 76:12	today 5:2,17	51:15 56:9
sufficiently 85:9	30:19 31:21	79:10	10:19 11:20	57:11,13 58:12
Suite 2:7,17	32:23 34:12	testimony 8:5	16:7 20:11	67:12,17 68:20
sulfate 44:15	39:2 45:4 52:19	16:7 20:11 49:6	24:11 41:14	69:7 71:14 74:1
supervise 24:18	57:7 58:18,21,23	57:10 66:3,11	56:17 83:17	75:18 77:11
25:2	59:3,10 66:5	84:12	84:12	type 20:5 44:17
supervised 23:14	78:16 81:1,11,17	testing 14:24	top 38:1 47:4,14	types 19:16,19
supplier 32:22	84:22,24 85:5	55:22	58:10 64:10	
suppliers 29:14	86:12 87:20	thank 5:21 6:23	79:17	U
30:9 33:6 43:21	88:11,18	8:20 10:20	train 5:22	underlies 49:21
	•	•	•	•

doubuin a 12.17	rioloto 67.1	70.5 16 00.11	22.20.22.21	0.015.22.19
underlying 13:17	violate 67:1	78:5,16 80:11	22:20 23:21	0.015 32:18
underneath 39:23	violation 16:10	81:1,10,16,17	24:24 43:4,6,14	084-004445 1:23
understand 76:4	Virginia 42:6 43:8	84:19,20,22,24	43:16 44:18	1
80:5	77:2	87:20,22 88:11	63:17	1 15:11 34:12,23
understanding	voice 87:15	88:13,20	worked 18:12	38:18,22 40:6,10
29:16,24 30:2	volume 34:5	water's 57:18 58:7	26:4 77:15	41:3 45:4 49:15
32:4 36:9 76:6	vs 1:6	60:2,12,22	78:15	50:9 56:13 65:7
understood 9:8	\mathbf{W}	way 7:17 33:22	working 38:14	
67:21	-	we'll 10:23	writing 83:13	67:20 74:4 75:3
units 37:18	Wacker 2:6	we're 5:1,17 12:11	written 48:10	89:17
University 14:17	waiting 75:8	12:15,21 35:13	wrote 23:17	1,700 15:2
14:17,20 15:1,5	waiver 11:2	41:24 45:13	WTP 47:18	10 27:20 74:7 75:8
15:10 17:13	walk 17:9 57:3	49:23 68:20		85:12,13
28:13,16,21	want 5:17 42:24	71:6 72:9 82:5	X X	10,000 59:5
29:19 31:3,10	55:5 90:18	90:7	X 4:1	100 1:16
34:11 35:2 37:6	wanted 73:1 84:7	we've 7:21 50:21	Y	105.12 16:5
38:22 40:13	Washington 2:16	57:3 62:7 63:1	yeah 9:6 13:22	11 57:22 58:10
41:10 43:9 45:4	wasn't 66:16	86:20	24:5 28:24 29:1	11:15 90:23
48:3 49:10	waste 84:20	weather 44:20		113 15:3
58:22 59:2,9	water 5:8 14:16	week 11:18	36:14 42:22	12 18:16
66:5,19 69:18	14:20,23,24 15:4	weekly 86:4,7	53:23 67:15 81:3	1300 27:5
76:5,7,17 77:3	15:11 16:1 18:6	weeks 7:9		14 41:20 74:12
77:16 78:5,16	18:10 19:15,18	wells 28:21,21,23	year 17:15 18:7 27:5	76:13,16 82:17
80:10,17,24	19:23 20:2,9	31:8,19		90:14
81:10,16 84:22	22:6,7,12,22	went 18:1 41:6	years 18:16,23 26:7 27:20	15 14:22 32:11,18
84:23 85:15	23:7,16 24:8,15	weren't 83:24		48:7 54:5 55:13
upload 33:18	24:22 25:3,11,12	90:5	33:11	79:12 87:4
upper 70:9,17	25:16,17 26:9,14	West 1:16 2:16	yesterday 5:23	16 82:20
use 6:24 7:20 14:2	26:15,19,22 27:1	23:20	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	17 4:4 39:14
29:10 78:23	27:3,7,8,10,11	whatsoever 7:15	zero 37:15	1800 2:17
84:4	27:15,23 28:6,12	wish 85:1	zeros 82:17	19 9:2,20
USEPA 14:22	28:15,17,18,23	withdrawn 9:4	zinc 41:8 45:7,14	1989 17:16
uses 72:24	29:7,12,14,19	witness 4:2 8:17	45:24 48:11,20	1990 18:8,17 19:7
utilize 9:23 10:4	30:9,15,19,20	10:5 12:6,7 14:9	49:8,16 50:17,19	19:11,20 20:18
30:10 31:2,9,20	31:4,7,10,15,18	16:21 17:2 35:5	54:7 55:14	21:6,10 22:15
49:8	31:21 32:2,22,23	35:13,15,18	62:20,24 66:15	2
utilized 30:18	33:6 34:12	36:24 43:20	68:24 76:17	
T 7	38:23 40:14,18	87:16 88:8,22	77:15,22 78:3,10	289:23
<u>V</u>	41:7 43:21,24	89:4	78:14,24 79:14	2002 18:17 19:8
variability 78:22	44:10,12 45:4	witnesses 8:3	79:23 80:6,9,22	19:11,20 20:19
variable 44:23	49:10 52:19	10:19 11:19	80:22 81:8,15	21:7,11 22:15,20
vary 88:17	53:5 55:21 57:7	word 72:24	83:20 86:16	22:21 23:4 24:2
version 51:17	57:20 58:22	wording 39:20		2012 24:5
versus 5:6 64:22	59:3,9 63:20,21	54:4	0	2016 23:4 24:2,5
Village 14:17,20	66:4,4,5 77:16	work 18:1 19:16	0 80:18	2017 28:20,22 2019 14:24 15:11
				2019 14:24 15:11

24 12 22 20 10	FF 0 FC F C1 1 C	50.27.20
34:13,23 38:18	55:8 56:5 61:16	50 27:20
38:22 65:7	62:6 63:9 66:24	6
2020 39:14 40:2,6	75:15 78:20	6 5:17 7:8,18,23
40:6,10,10,22	258-5521 2:9	
84:10	28 5:3 51:12 52:4	8:9 10:9,13
2021 15:24 40:23	52:7 55:8 56:6	11:13 64:5,17
41:3,3,20 42:17	61:16 62:7 63:9	66:11 68:9,14
42:20 44:9 45:5	67:1,8,12,16	69:12,20 71:7
45:17,22 46:2,20	75:15 78:20	72:3,9,10 73:9
47:17 48:7,22	79:3	81:20 83:8,11
49:7,15,15 50:20	28th 1:17	6.7 80:4
52:9,20 57:8	29 15:18 16:3 38:5	60 51:4 69:21,24
63:1 68:9,15,22	38:10 56:19	70:10,18
69:12 72:8	62:13 63:6,14	601.101 56:4 66:1
76:13,16 77:13	64:21 65:2,5	60602 2:18
77:14 78:2	67:8 68:1 70:17	60606 2:8
79:13,18,22	70:19 73:24	609 70:22
80:11 81:7	75:1,7 76:1	611.350 C 34:8
2022 1:17 5:3,15	77:13,14 78:2,13	611.356 70:7
9:2,11,16 15:14	79:4 80:21 81:6	611.360A 74:19
15:18 16:3	81:14,20 82:16	611.Table 58:11
35:19 38:2,5,8	85:18	6600 2:7
	297 39:10	69 2:16
38:10 50:6,15	491 39.10	
51:12,19 52:4,11	3	7
53:10,17 54:5	3 90:18	7 56:14
55:13 56:20	3,301 59:4	
61:16 62:7,13	,	8
63:6,9,14 64:21	30 34:13,23 38:18	8.1 47:18 79:19
65:2,5 67:1,8,9	40:6 41:3 68:22	80:3 85:12
67:12,16 68:1	74:5 75:4	80 73:2
70:17,20 73:24	31 38:22 40:10,22	814-0608 2:19
74:5,7 75:1,3,4	49:15 51:19	82 4:5
75:7,9,20 76:1	312 2:9,19	024.3
78:13,20 79:3,4	35 22:12 29:9 56:3	9
79:12 80:21	66:1 74:18	990:12,15
81:7,15,20 82:16	358 34:8	9:00 1:18 5:3
82:17 83:15	- <u>-</u>	9:05 5:3
85:19 90:11,13	4	
90:14,15	4 65:18,20	90 52:5
21 5:14 9:10 90:11	40 51:4 59:12	90-day 75:10,12
22 23:20	69:21,24	90th 32:6 33:21
23 9:16 35:19 36:1		33:23 34:2,5
	5	
23-12 1:6 5:7	5 80:18 85:13	
233 2:6	5,000 19:13	
24 51:12 52:3,6	5.0 80:12,14	
	i	